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Abstract

Given their essential function in aerobic metabolism, mitochondria are intuitively of interest in regard to the pathophysiology of diabetes. Qualitative, quantitative, and functional perturbations in mitochondria have been identified and affect the cause and complications of diabetes. Moreover, as a consequence of fuel oxidation, mitochondria generate considerable reactive oxygen species (ROS). Evidence is accumulating that these radicals per se are important in the pathophysiology of diabetes and its complications. In this review, we first present basic concepts underlying mitochondrial physiology. We then address mitochondrial function and ROS as related to diabetes. We consider different forms of diabetes and address both insulin secretion and insulin sensitivity. We also address the role of mitochondrial uncoupling and coenzyme Q. Finally, we address the potential for targeting mitochondria in the therapy of diabetes. Antioxid. Redox Signal. 12, 537–577.
I. Introduction

Approximately 7% of the U.S. population has diabetes, and the prevalence is increasing. Most (~90–95%) represents type 2 diabetes. The prevalence of type 2 diabetes is higher in certain ethnic populations, and the incidence is increasing worldwide, particularly in developing nations. Thus, diabetes and the related problems of obesity and vascular disease represent major global health-care issues.

Type 2 diabetes is associated with both impaired insulin action at target tissues and impaired insulin release. Defects at both levels are evident early in the course of the disorder, and evidence suggests that mitochondria play a role in both processes. In contrast, type 1 diabetes results primarily from autoimmune β-cell destruction. Nonetheless, mitochondria also are important in type 1 diabetes, if not in pathogenesis, then in treatment of the disorder and in prevention of long-term consequences. Both type 1 and type 2 diabetes, and less common forms as well, are associated with similar long-term complications that, at least in part, appear to result from pathogenic processes at the mitochondrial level.

Mitochondrial function has different implications for diabetes in different cells and tissues. This review emphasizes the major cell types responsible for insulin secretion (pancreatic β cells) and insulin action (skeletal and cardiac myocytes and hepatocytes), as well as target organs for the major complications of diabetes (renal, retinal, neural, and vascular cells).

The objectives of this review are to provide a basic overview of mitochondrial physiology; to discuss qualitative, quantitative, and functional perturbations in mitochondria as related to diabetes; to discuss the implications of mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (ROS) for diabetes; and to conclude by addressing therapeutic implications. In the course of this review, we also address the role of mitochondrial uncoupling and coenzyme Q.

We address several controversial topics. These include the following: whether diabetes results from perturbed mitochondria or vice versa; the importance of mitochondrial dysfunction versus altered numbers of mitochondria; sites of mitochondrial ROS production; the role of ROS in diabetes and its complications; the role of membrane potential in regulating ROS; and the merits of mitochondria-directed therapy.

Sections II and III address basic mitochondrial physiology and methods for study. This information should be helpful to readers with a background in diabetes but less familiar with mitochondrial work per se. Readers well versed in mitochondrial physiology may wish to skip ahead to Section IV.

II. Basic Physiology

Mitochondria generate energy as electrons are passed from donors at lower to acceptors at higher redox potential through various protein complexes. Along with this process, protons are pumped from the matrix outward, generating a potential difference across the inner membrane. The resulting potential energy is transferred to ATP or dissipated as heat as protons leak back toward the matrix. Although most electrons are eventually passed to molecular oxygen, a small portion are leaked during transport. This results in one-electron reduction of oxygen to superoxide, which subsequently is converted to additional radical species (Section II.B). Although the ROS so generated may be destructive, these radicals also serve metabolic purposes such as induc-
tion of mitochondrial uncoupling (92, 93) and cell signaling (further discussed later).

**A. Electron transport**

Figure 1 is a schematic diagram of electron transport and its relation to ROS production and uncoupling protein activity. The term electron transport "chain" has been criticized as misleading, because it implies a linear progression along a single pathway. In actuality, electrons enter the electron-transport system (ETS) or branched electron-transport chain (ETC) at four separate sites that are convergent, in that all eventuate in the reduction of coenzyme Q (Fig. 1). Electrons donated by NADH enter at complexes I (NADH ubiquinone reductase), whereas succinate conversion to fumarate generates electrons at complex II (succinate dehydrogenase). Electrons derived from FADH\(_2\) may enter the convergent pathway through the electron-transfer flavoprotein (ETF).

Electrons from glycerol 3-phosphate enter by way of a mitochondrial form of glycerol 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), located on the outer face of the inner membrane (Fig. 1).

Electron flow from entry sites is directed through the mobile intermediate ubiquinone, followed by oxidation of ubiquinol by complex III (ubiquinol-cytochrome c reductase) (284). Electrons are then transferred to another mobile intermediate, cytochrome c, which directs flow to complex IV (cytochrome c oxidase). ATP synthase (F\(_{0}\)F\(_{1}\)-ATPase), also called complex V, consists of a joined membrane-bound F\(_{0}\)-ATPase and apparently attached rotary F\(_{1}\)-ATPase. The complex is capable of "coupling" proton flow to conversion of ADP to ATP in an intricate manner that still remains incompletely understood (16).

Substrates for the TCA cycle enter the mitochondrial matrix through pyruvate dehydrogenase, carrier proteins, or one of multiple shuttle mechanisms. Fatty acyl-CoAs enter through the carnitine palmitoyl transferase system (CPT-I and CPT-II) for \(\beta\)-oxidation. Metabolism of different substrates results in electron donation to specific complexes or sites. For example, oxidation of glutamate, malate, and pyruvate provide NADH for electron entry at complex I, whereas succinate is used as substrate at complex II. Fatty acyl-CoAs can be used as substrates if carnitine is added to enable transport into mitochondria. \(\beta\)-Oxidation of fatty acyl-CoAs generates electrons for entry at complex I or complex II by way of acetyl-CoA metabolism through the TCA cycle. In addition, fatty acyl-CoA metabolism provides electrons through the ETF via FADH\(_2\), which is a product of \(\beta\)-oxidation, independent of the TCA cycle.

When isolated mitochondria are incubated in vitro, these substrates can be used to study electron flow specifically through that site/complex. For example, in the presence of complex I substrates, flux through the entire TCA cycle is limited by shuttle systems that do not allow a fully operational (closed) cycle. Hence, one can examine respiration or other mitochondrial functions (like ATP or ROS production) as affected by fuel use specifically at complex I. In studies using fatty acyl-CoAs as substrate, malate can be added to maintain the TCA cycle by replenishing oxaloacetate for reactivation with acetyl-CoA at the citrate synthase step.

Mitochondrial respiratory states were originally defined by Chance and Williams (58). Respiration with substrate added in excess and during ADP conversion to ATP is referred to as state 3. In the absence of ADP (with excess substrate), for example, by using oligomycin to block ATP synthase, or after consumption of all added ADP, respiration is referred to as state 4. Chance and Williams further defined respiration with no ADP or substrate as state 1, with added ADP and before endogenous substrate exhaustion as state 2, and anaerobic respiration after exhaustion of oxygen as state 5. Although originally defined thus, the term “state 2 respiration” also has been used to imply respiration in the presence of substrate but without added ADP (34).

Mitochondrial membrane potential is generated by proton pumping at complexes I, III, and IV and offset by proton transfer in the opposite direction, referred to as proton leak. Although this process can occur in less-defined ways, apparently independent of known enzymes or carriers (117), much of the proton leak is a catalytic property of specific molecules termed uncoupling proteins (UCPs). The best

---

**FIG. 1. Mitochondrial electron transport and ROS production.** The schematic illustration depicts the convergent nature of electron donation at one of four sites: complex I (NADH ubiquinone reductase), complex II (succinate dehydrogenase), the electron-transfer flavoprotein (ETF), or a mitochondrial form of GAPDH. Reduced ubiquinone is processed through the Q-cycle in complex III, where protons are pumped and electrons passed to mobile cytochrome c and then cytochrome oxidase. ATP formation through ATP synthase (not shown) is coupled to mitochondrial potential generated by proton pumping at complexes I, III, and IV and offset by proton transfer in the opposite direction (proton leak), mediated in part by uncoupling proteins (UCPs). Superoxide (O\(_2^−\)) produced at complex III in the Q-cycle results from electron leaks generated by the reactive semiquinone intermediate, O\(_2^−\) (295). Superoxide is also produced at complex I (see text, section II B), where it is released to the matrix. Note that superoxide is shown (dotted lines) to activate proton transfer by UCP. Red arrows, Electron transport. Blue arrows, H\(^+\) movement either away from (proton pumping) or back toward (proton leak) the matrix. Black arrows, Electron leaks leading to one-electron reduction of oxygen to O\(_2^−\).
characterized of these are UCP1, UCP2, and UCP3. UCP1, initially termed “thermogenin,” was the first of these to be described and is responsible for converting mitochondrial membrane potential to heat production in brown adipose tissue (233). UCP3, which also is expressed in BAT, is the major form present in brown adipose tissue (233). UCP3, which also is expressed in BAT, is the major form present in brown adipose tissue (233). UCP2, the more ubiquitous form, is expressed in many tissues, most prominently spleen, lung, kidney, and, important with regard to diabetes, in insulin-producing pancreatic islet β cells. The likely functions of UCP2 and UCP3 (further addressed in Section VI) do not appear to include thermogenesis. Rather, these involve mitigation of ROS generation, export of fatty acids outward from mitochondria (UCP3), and regulation of insulin release (UCP2).

**B. Reactive oxygen species and mitochondria**

The ETS generates substantial superoxide derived from electron leaks as substrates are metabolized (294). Hence, mitochondrial oxygen use is associated with a cost in terms of generation of oxygen radicals and consequent oxidative damage. Biologically important ROS include the superoxide radical, O$_2^-$, hydrogen peroxide, H$_2$O$_2$, and the hydroxyl radical, OH$^\cdot$. At physiologic pH, superoxide self-reacts (dismutates) or, more efficiently, is catalyzed by superoxide dismutase to form H$_2$O$_2$ (107). Although, superoxide per se is not thought to be particularly destructive, its impact arises from generation of the hydroxyl radical through a series of steps dependent on the presence of redox metals, such as iron or copper. This occurs as follows:

$$2\text{O}_2^-- + 2\text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2$$

Summing these reactions yields

$$\text{O}_2^- + \text{Fe(III)} \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + \text{Fe(II)}\text{O}_2^- + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \cdot\text{OH}$$

$$\text{Fe(II)} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Fe(III)} + \cdot\text{OH} + \cdot\text{OH}^-$$

Mitochondria are considered the major intracellular site of superoxide production (57, 117, 263). However, exact quantification is difficult, and the mitochondrial contribution varies with the respiratory state, being greater near state 4 when membrane potential is less mitigated by ATP synthesis (118). The major sites of superoxide production within mitochondria have been controversial, but evidence indicates that most derive from complex I and III (263). Complex I superoxide is released nearly exclusively to the matrix side of the inner membrane, whereas complex III likely generates superoxide to both the matrix and outward to the intermembrane and extramitochondrial space (128, 299).

Complex I superoxide arises from bound flavin reduced to FMNH$_2$ by NADH. Eventually, electrons are passed to membrane-embedded ubiquinone. In this process, electrons may be passed by FMNH$_2$ or the partially reduced flavosemiquinone to oxygen-generating superoxide (282). Complex III superoxide is generated during the contact Q cycle, wherein coenzyme Q undergoes redox cycling through a reactive semiquinone species (Fig. 2) (295). As is evident in Fig. 2, the half-life of the semiquinone is highly dependent on potential and thus can be regulated by uncoupling.

![FIG. 2. Q-cycle at complex III. Mitochondrial inner membrane is depicted with (+) outside and (−) inside charge. b$_L$ and b$_H$ represent low- and high-potential cytochrome heme content. Fe$_{III}$ represents non-heme iron–sulfur cluster of complex III. Electron (e−) flow follows along arrows, as depicted. Oxidation of CoQ$_H$ directly electrons either to the iron–sulfur cluster and cytochrome c (Cyt C) or to generate the semiquinone form of CoQ, which passes electrons back through b$_L$ and b$_H$ to complete the cycle. Accompanying this process, two hydrogen ions are pumped outward from the negatively charged matrix.](image)

Mitochondrial ROS may be generated at other sites as well, such as α-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase (6) and the iron–sulfur centers in the aconitase protein, where conversion of superoxide to the hydroxyl radical results in inactivation of the enzyme (110, 319).

Although this review is concerned with mitochondrial ROS, it should be recognized that considerable ROS derives from outside this organelle, including oxygen radicals from peroxisomal β-oxidation of fatty acids (24), NAD(P)H oxidase (53), xanthine oxidase, arachidonic acid metabolism, microsomal P450 enzymes (75), and the prooxidant heme molecule (226).

Mitochondria have the capacity to invoke adaptive mechanisms that have evolved to defend against oxidative stress (117). One putative mechanism is a physiologic or “mild” uncoupling of oxidative phosphorylation, which would reduce superoxide generation by reducing mitochondrial membrane potential (295). This is detailed in Section VI. In addition, several enzymatic means may protect against ROS in mitochondria. These include conversion of superoxide to H$_2$O$_2$ by manganese superoxide dismutase (MnSOD) and scavenging of H$_2$O$_2$ by catalase, glutathione peroxidase (GPX), or peroxiredoxin III (117). Although catalase is highly efficient in scavenging hydrogen peroxide, the enzyme has classically been considered a cytoplasmic rather than mitochondrial protein. Conversely, recent studies document the expression and antioxidant activity in liver mitochondria (195, 280), and reports exist of catalase in heart mitochondria (262, 313).

**C. Mitochondrial nitric oxide**

Nitric oxide (NO) can interact with proteins containing heme or thiols. In mitochondria, this radical can decrease respiration and potentially trigger apoptosis or cell necrosis or both by inhibiting cytochrome oxidase (41). Moreover,
Calcium is taken up by mitochondria both through a uniporter (thus, independent of other ion or molecule) and through a pulsed or rapid mode, dependent on pre-pulse calcium and inhibited by calcium concentration >100–150 nM (123). Separate molecular structures responsible for the uniporter and rapid-mode transport have not been identified, and the two processes may derive from similar modes of operation. The conserved nature of these mechanisms suggests important functional consequences. The major roles of calcium in mitochondria appear to be to stimulation of ATP production and induction of the mitochondrial permeability transition (124). Both Na⁺-dependent and -independent processes for calcium efflux exist (125), which, of course, must match the amount taken in as a result of influx pulses.

Calcium, ROS, high pH, low membrane potential, and oxidized pyridine nucleotides all may lead to opening of the mitochondrial permeability transition pore (MPTP) (284). In its dramatic form, the MPTP results in a marked increase in mitochondrial inner-membrane permeability, which will decrease ΔΨ and lead to mitochondrial swelling, release of cytochrome c, cell damage, and apoptosis. Conversely, transient opening may be physiologic, being involved in functions such as voltage, redox or pH sensing, divalent cation sensing, or regulation of adenine nucleotide concentrations (178). It is believed that the MPTP is mediated by the reversible opening of a protein pore in the inner membrane (257). The composition of the MPTP is still unclear, but creation of the pore may involve the interaction of several proteins. This includes adenine nucleotide translocase (ANT), which imports ADP and exports ATP through binding of these nucleotides on opposite sides and directing the carrier opening toward or away from the mitochondrial matrix (284). Cyclosporin A, which interacts with the matrix protein cyclophilin D, inhibits the MPTP. The pore requires calcium for opening. So, under the conditions in which we and others have studied respiratory coupling in isolated mitochondria, the MPTP is inhibited by lack of calcium in the medium.

B. ATP production and the proton leak

Mitochondrial membrane potential (charge differential across the inner membrane), often referred to as ΔΨ, is generated as protons are pumped outward from the matrix, a process that depends on substrate utilization and electron transport. Loss of membrane potential will result from any process wherein protons move back toward the matrix (for example, the proton leak as induced by the catalytic action of specific uncoupling proteins). Loss of potential also may result from nonspecific proton leaks, opening of the MPTP (Section II.D), interactions of fatty acids with mitochondrial proteins (136, 163, 289), drug or chemical action (56), or general disruption of the inner membrane. According to the chemiosmotic theory (219), the extent of ADP conversion to ATP is determined by membrane potential per se; in other words, the net effect of all processes contributing to or detracting from potential.

ATP production by isolated mitochondria incubated in vitro can be determined by removing medium at different
time points and measuring the ATP content (34). The ADP/O ratio (ADP converted to ATP per unit oxygen consumed) provides an index of the efficiency of ATP production. This can be determined in isolated mitochondria by adding ADP and observing the rate of oxygen consumption, which will increase immediately on addition of ADP and usually will decrease sharply at the point where ADP is completely consumed. The ADP/O ratio is sometimes used as an index of uncoupling activity or the proton leak. However, the ADP/O ratio also is affected by ATP synthase and the efficiency of electron transfer, as well as the proton leak. Typical values for the ADP/O ratio range up to just above 3, depending on the processes (see earlier) that control membrane potential.

The respiratory control ratio (RCR or ratio of state 3 to state 4 respiration) also provides an index of the efficiency of ATP formation. State 4 respiration will increase, and the RCR will decrease in mitochondria subject to uncoupling, as discharge of potential will be compensated by increased respiration. However, state 4 respiration is not the best estimate of the proton leak because it is influenced not just by uncoupling but also by substrate oxidation and the efficiency of electron transport.

A more direct and probably the best assessment of the proton leak in isolated mitochondria can be accomplished by measurement of inner membrane potential simultaneous with respiration under conditions set so that oxygen consumption is proportional to proton pumping (39). Under these conditions, it is possible to assess the relation of hydrogen transfer to potential (the driving force behind ATP synthesis) and to calculate the proton conductance (in other words, proton transfer per unit potential expressed in units of nmole H/min/mg mitochondrial protein/mV). In comparing different experimental states, the proton leak will manifest as greater proton conductance. Assessing proton conductance under differing degrees of electron transfer, adjusted by using inhibitors, enables us to perform kinetic analysis (39). An increase in the proton leak between two conditions will manifest as a shift in the curve of oxygen use versus potential upward and to the left, indicating greater oxygen consumption (proportional to hydrogen transfer) at any given membrane potential. An example is shown in Fig. 3, which depicts proton-leak kinetics in brown fat mitochondria of mice fed low-fat and high-fat diets (102). Leak kinetics was assessed in the presence or absence of the uncoupling protein 1 inhibitor GDP. The mitochondria from the high-fat–fed mice manifested greater GDP-inhibitable proton conductance, reflecting the UCP1-mediated proton leak.

Proton conductance is more difficult to measure in intact cells, but it has been accomplished (259). Coupling of respiration and TCA activity, which should decrease with uncoupling, has been estimated in muscle in vivo by using magnetic resonance spectroscopy to assess ATP production and 13C enrichment of glutamate by acetate to assess TCA activity (64).

C. ROS production by isolated mitochondria

ROS production is maximal during state 4 respiration, wherein radical formation is enhanced as electron flow leads to high potential, unmitigated by ATP generation (31). Although mitochondria in vivo are rarely, if ever, in this unmitigated state, it is theorized that mitochondria in diabetes, when exposed to high glucose and fatty acid concentrations, may be driven toward greater oxygen use and higher potential, thereby forming more ROS (87, 237, 335). Under state 4 conditions in liver or heart mitochondria, ROS production has been estimated to account for as much as 2% of oxygen consumed (57).

ROS produced by isolated mitochondria can be detected with a variety of techniques not reviewed here. Fluorescent measurements are often used; but with any method, it is very important to use all possible caution to avoid measuring nonspecific signals. This can arise from probe interaction with a wide variety of substances, including substrates, test substances, and components of mitochondria or cells per se. Signal intensity in the presence and absence of superoxide dismutase can help validate specificity for superoxide. Likewise, H2O2 can be determined in the presence or absence of catalase. To the extent that these enzymes or mimetics penetrate into the compartment under study, and assuming that the enzymes themselves do not alter fluorescence, catalase or superoxide should reduce fluorescence to near the detectable limit. In studies of isolated mitochondria, it also is important that fluorescence be determined under no-substrate conditions and that this be considered with respect to substrate-induced ROS.

A specific but somewhat cumbersome way to assess oxygen radical formation by isolated mitochondria is through EPR spectroscopy. This can be done by detecting specific signals resulting from free radical interactions with added compounds, as spin traps (105, 241). We used the spin trap, 5,5-dimethyl-l-pyrroline-N-oxide (DMPO) to detect superoxide generating a specific signal representing either this compound or the hydroxy radical (see Section III.D). These two possibilities can be separated by adding SOD, which should abolish the signal generated by superoxide.

Proton conductance, reflecting the UCP1-mediated proton leak. For both panels, the increased proton leak in the absence of GDP compared with the presence of GDP is evident as a shift in the curve of oxygen use versus potential upward and to the left.
D. Site specificity of mitochondrial superoxide production

We suggested a way to measure superoxide from isolated mitochondria in a manner that imparts a degree of specificity for matrix ROS compared with superoxide released external to the organelles. Fluorescent H$_2$O$_2$ probes such as 10-acetyl-3,7-dihydroxyphenoxazine (DHPA) and EPR spectroscopy measure mitochondrial superoxide in a different fashion. DHPA detects superoxide indirectly. When added to isolated mitochondria, the probe detects H$_2$O$_2$ generated from superoxide by matrix MnSOD. H$_2$O$_2$ so generated diffuses outward from mitochondria and reacts with horseradish peroxidase in the incubation medium to trigger fluorescence. H$_2$O$_2$ produced in this way derives largely from superoxide generated at complex I and released to the matrix (299). In contrast, the EPR spin trap, DMPO, detects superoxide directly after efflux outward from mitochondria. Superoxide produced in this way derives largely from the Q cycle at complex III (299). Some superoxide also is released to the matrix. However, because DMPO will not easily penetrate mitochondria and because matrix superoxide is rapidly converted to H$_2$O$_2$, the spin trap should detect very little matrix superoxide.

We carried out substrate and inhibitor studies of ROS production by isolated bovine aortic endothelial (BAE) cell mitochondria, which support these contentions (241). ROS was detected as H$_2$O$_2$ by DHPA fluorescence (Fig. 4) and directly as superoxide by EPR (Fig. 5). These experiments showed (241) that the complex I inhibitor, rotenone, markedly decreased succinate-driven ROS production (reverse transport through complex I), as detected with fluorescence, but had no effect on succinate-driven superoxide production with EPR spectroscopy. Moreover, antimycin (active in complex III to increase ROS by its effect on the Q-cycle to prolong the half-life of the semiquinone) markedly increased succinate-driven ROS production (reverse transport through complex I), as detected with fluorescence, but did not affect superoxide by EPR (Fig. 5). These experiments showed (241) that the complex I inhibitor, rotenone, markedly decreased succinate-driven ROS production (reverse transport through complex I), as detected with fluorescence, but had no effect on succinate-driven superoxide production with EPR spectroscopy. Moreover, antimycin (active in complex III to increase ROS by its effect on the Q-cycle to prolong the half-life of the semiquinone) markedly increased succinate-driven superoxide by EPR, but decreased ROS by fluorescent detection. Further, stigmastatin, which blocks electron entrance to the complex III Q-cycle, markedly reduced the EPR signal. Thus, we suggest that, in combination, EPR spectroscopy and fluorescence assessment of H$_2$O$_2$ release from mitochondria can provide a way to measure superoxide in a different fashion.

We carried out substrate and inhibitor studies of ROS production by isolated bovine aortic endothelial (BAE) cell mitochondria, which support these contentions (241). ROS was detected as H$_2$O$_2$ by DHPA fluorescence (Fig. 4) and directly as superoxide by EPR (Fig. 5). These experiments showed (241) that the complex I inhibitor, rotenone, markedly decreased succinate-driven ROS production (reverse transport through complex I), as detected with fluorescence, but had no effect on succinate-driven superoxide production with EPR spectroscopy. Moreover, antimycin (active in complex III to increase ROS by its effect on the Q-cycle to prolong the half-life of the semiquinone) markedly increased succinate-driven superoxide by EPR, but decreased ROS by fluorescent detection. Further, stigmastatin, which blocks electron entrance to the complex III Q-cycle, markedly reduced the EPR signal. Thus, we suggest that, in combination, EPR spectroscopy and fluorescence assessment of H$_2$O$_2$ release from mitochondria can provide a way to measure superoxide in a different fashion.

E. Mitochondrial ROS production in intact cells

Several studies measured intact-cell total ROS production as H$_2$O$_2$ by using fluorescent probes such as carboxydichlorodihydrofluorescein (with more or less attention to radical specificity). However, most intact-cell studies do not separate mitochondrial from cytoplasmic ROS. A degree of specificity for intact-cell mitochondrial superoxide, as opposed to cytoplasmic, can be detected by using mitochondria-targeted dihydroethidine (DHE) or "MitoSOX". MitoSOX is a DHE derivative conjugated to the cation triphenylphosphonium, resulting in potential-dependent accumulation of the probe in the mitochondrial matrix. The accumulation in the matrix should be very large, as cationic triphenylphosphonium-conjugated molecules accumulate by many fold (230). The difference in fluorescence between untargeted DHE and MitoSOX may provide a semiquantitative index of relative cytoplasmic and mitochondrial superoxide. A concern, however, is the degree to which MitoSOX could undergo oxidation in the cytoplasm, which is difficult to ascertain. Because DHE and MitoSOX do not measure H$_2$O$_2$, treatment with an SOD mimetic should decrease fluorescence and may serve as a means of validation that superoxide is being measured. Another important consideration with respect to mitochondria-targeted DHE is that the probe is dependent on mitochondrial membrane potential to enter the organelles. Resolution of this requires that potential be monitored and that an appropriate correction be applied. Although difficult, this has been accomplished by using tetramethylrhodamine methyl ester.
(TMRM) to measure fluorescence in cerebellar granule neurons (149).

DHE has been criticized as nonspecific, and some advocate analysis of the oxidation products with high-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) to document specificity for superoxide as opposed to H2O2 (188). In using rhodamine derivatives like TMRM, attention has to be paid to the capacity for these compounds themselves to be a source of ROS (255).

**F. Oxidative damage to mitochondria in intact cells**

Distinct from assessing ongoing ROS production, several techniques are available to assess oxidative damage done to...
mitochondria and intact cells and have been used in diabetes-related studies. These include markers of oxidative damage to proteins, lipids, and DNA. Chronic radical production is also compensated by a variety of enzymatic and other mechanisms that can be assessed as evidence of oxidative stress.

Oxidative damage to DNA can cause structural modifications of the nucleotide bases or by cross-linking. This can lead to gene mutation and cell damage. A common way to detect oxidative damage to DNA is to measure 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine (8-OHdG), a compound formed by oxidation of deoxyguanosine in blood or urine, which can be analyzed by various analytic methods, including HPLC, gas chromatography–mass spectrometry, and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (258).

Because of the key role of lipids in biomembranes, lipid peroxidation is of great concern in regard to disease states like diabetes. Moreover, in obesity and diabetes, lipids have been observed to accumulate near mitochondria, and products of lipid oxidation can interfere with cell signaling (see Section IV.C.5). Also of concern is that lipid peroxidation can occur as a chain reaction that can self-perpetuate, thereby amplifying an initial oxygen radical insult by severalfold. The occurrence of this self-sustaining reaction has therapeutic implications (Section VIII.C).

Unstable lipid peroxides derived from polyunsaturated fatty acid breakdown to several compounds amenable to biochemical assay. For example, isoprostanes are often measured as the marker, 8-isoprostane, formed by peroxidation of arachidonic acid (217). Isoprostanes have adverse vascular effects, including mitogenesis and altered vascular reactivity (217). Other markers of lipid peroxidation are alkanals, which can be measured as 4-hydroxy-2-nonenal (4-HNE), malondialdehyde (MDA), and acrolein (258).

Oxidative damage also can modify amino acids and, therefore, change structure and function and lead to cross-linking or protein breakdown (258). Peroxynitrite, which results from oxygen radical interaction with nitric oxide, can cause nitration of tyrosine, resulting in a formation of the marker compound, nitrotirosine (216).

Certain methods can be used to measure oxidative damage specifically within mitochondria. One method is to determine the activity of the aconitase enzyme, a protein that is highly sensitive to oxidative damage (110). Mitochondrial protein also can be evaluated for 4-HNE protein adducts with immunoblotting antibody (34), although specificity can be questioned.

IV. Mitochondrial Metabolism and Diabetes

This section focuses on the relation of mitochondrial metabolism to the clinical problem of diabetes. After a brief general discussion, we address mitochondrial diabetes and then consider the role of mitochondria in the more common forms, classified as type 1 and type 2. We first discuss issues related to morphology, numbers of mitochondria, and mitochondrial biogenesis. After this, we address the role of mitochondria in insulin sensitivity and insulin secretion and the role of respiratory uncoupling. We next address the relation of mitochondria to diabetic complications in non–insulin-sensitive tissues and, finally, the importance of fuel selectivity and its relation to the important problem of diabetic cardiomyopathy.

A. General considerations

As the major sites for energy disposition, it should not be surprising that mitochondria appear to be important in multiple aspects of this disorder, including cause, complications, management, and prevention. In the unusual case, genetic mutations in mitochondrial DNA lead to “mitochondrial diabetes” (see later). As opposed to mitochondrial diabetes, the large majority of cases fall into the broad classifications of type 1 and type 2 diabetes (10).

It is widely accepted that the etiology of type 2 diabetes involves both pancreatic β-cell dysfunction and insulin resistance in insulin-sensitive tissues, including hepatocytes, myocytes, and adipocytes. Moreover, type 2 diabetes is well known to be a progressive disorder (120), characterized by deteriorating capacity for both insulin release and insulin action. Both defects can be identified early and are present even in nondiabetic offspring of patients with type 2 diabetes (112, 122, 249). However, general consensus is found that insulin sensitivity is substantially impaired early in the course, whereas worsening of hyperglycemia over time is related to β-cell dysfunction, with diminished ability of insulin secretion to keep up with the demand imposed by insulin resistance.

In contrast, type 1 diabetes has a completely different etiology: autoimmune destruction of pancreatic β cells. Once under way, this process evolves over months or years to a point at which insulin secretion is low enough to induce hyperglycemic symptoms. From that point, the course continues downward to complete insulin deficiency and, in the absence of insulin therapy, a ketosis-prone and life-threatening state.

Next we begin with an overview of mitochondrial diabetes, followed by mitochondrial aspects related to the much more common forms of diabetes, classified as type 1 or type 2.

B. Mitochondrial diabetes

Mitochondrial diabetes usually is first seen at middle age, is maternally transmitted (the mode of inheritance of mitochondrial DNA), and often is associated with hearing loss, particularly for high tones. The most common mutation leading to mitochondrial diabetes is the A3243G mutation in the mitochondrial encoded tRNA (Leu, UUR) gene (205, 206). The defect in tRNA leads to impaired synthesis of multiple mitochondrial proteins and overall mitochondrial dysfunction. Although the phenotype will often look like type 2 diabetes, treatment with the commonly used drug, metformin, should be avoided because of a propensity to lactic acidosis, a well-recognized but otherwise rare adverse effect of this drug.

The A3243G form of mitochondrial diabetes is characterized by decreased glucose-induced insulin release but not insulin resistance, suggesting that the major pathology occurs within mitochondria of pancreatic β cells. Conversely, recent studies also provide evidence for hepatic dysfunction (305) and decreased skeletal muscle glucose uptake (200) associated with the A3243G mutation.

Interestingly, this syndrome is initially mild and worsens over time. One possible reason may be mitochondrial dysfunction compounded by hyperglycemia-induced ROS, oxidative damage, and worsening hyperglycemia (117). Pancreatic β cells may be particularly prone to oxidative damage. β Cells exposed to hyperglycemia, and consequent increased intracellular calcium, are prone to high levels of reducing equivalents and consumption of ADP (327), resulting...
in higher membrane potential and, therefore, more ROS production (see Section V.A.1). Moreover, β cells have relatively low levels of expression of antioxidant enzymes (190), and LDH levels are low (290), so glucose is driven to mitochondrial substrates generating higher membrane potential.

Other possible reasons for the progression of mitochondrial diabetes have been proposed. Decreased numbers of functioning β cells will decrease insulin responsiveness to glucose and perhaps reset glucose-induced insulin release to a higher level of glycemia. In addition, generalized respiratory depression will result in decreased ATP formation, which will impair glucose-induced insulin release.

Cells contain numerous mitochondria, which can number in the hundreds or thousands, and each has multiple copies of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA). However, mtDNA mutations are present in only a portion of the mtDNA, a situation referred to as heteroplasmy. In mitochondria of cells with the A3243G mutant mtDNA, the extent of heteroplasmy is related to mitochondrial oxygen consumption, which seems to decrease sharply when heteroplasmy reaches ~70% (205). Conversely, it is not clear that heteroplasmy worsens with age, so this is apparently not an explanation for the late onset and progression of mitochondrial diabetes.

Clinically, mitochondrial diabetes must be differentiated from other unusual single-gene defects, such as MODY diabetes, due to defects in glucokinase or hepatic transcription factors. These disorders often are first seen earlier in life (111). The A3243G mutation also is seen in the MELAS (mitochondrial encephalomyopathy with lactic acidosis and strokelike episodes) syndrome (115).

C. Type 1 and type 2 diabetes

Several studies have demonstrated perturbations in mitochondria in both insulin-deficient and insulin-resistant states and in the related condition of obesity. Although the phrase “mitochondrial dysfunction” is often used in this respect, it must be remembered that beyond dysfunction, evidence exists for defects in mitochondrial biogenesis, number, morphology, and dynamics, including fusion and fission. It is a matter of debate whether the insulin resistance seen in type 2 diabetes is related to mitochondrial function as opposed to number or other characteristic(s) or a combination of these.

1. Mitochondrial number and morphology. Biopsies of skeletal muscle from subjects with type 2 diabetes and obesity reveal mitochondria of smaller size and number per unit volume (density) compared with those in lean controls (161). Size appears to correlate with whole-body insulin sensitivity (161). Moreover, mitochondria of offspring of diabetic subjects are lower in density compared with those of controls (225). Mitochondrial subtype selectivity to morphologic alterations also may be noted. Skeletal myocytes and cardiomyocytes contain two populations of mitochondria; subsarcolemmal (SLM) and intermyofibrillar (IMF). The SLM are larger, lamellar shaped, and located below the sarcolemma (169, 268). The IMF are smaller and located between contractile elements. It is thought that the SLM contribute energy for membrane and transport processes, whereas the IMF contribute more to contractile function. Studies with transmission electron microscopy revealed reduced numbers of SLM in skeletal muscle of type 2 diabetes and obese subjects associated with reduced electron-transport activity per unit mitochondrial DNA, suggesting functional impairment as well (268).

Insulin deficiency, as seen in type 1 diabetes, is also associated with alterations in mitochondrial morphology. Skeletal muscle mitochondria of insulin-deficient rats made diabetic with the β-cell toxin streptozotocin, thereby mimicking type 1 diabetes, showed a loss of cristae and an increase in electron-dense granules along with lipid droplets around the mitochondria (59). Studies using alloxan to damage β cells and induce diabetes in rats revealed a decrease in mitochondrial number in liver and heart, with mitochondrial swelling and damage to mitochondrial membranes and cristae (209). An increase in mitochondrial area seen with transmission electron microscopy was reported in endothelial cells of women with type 1 diabetes (54). By using isotopic techniques to measure volumes of [3H]H2O and [14C]sucrose, we found no change in matrix volumes of gastrocnemius, heart, and liver mitochondria isolated from severely hyperglycemic streptozotocin (STZ)-diabetic rats compared with controls (134).

A recent study using electron microscopy to examine heart mitochondria of insulin-deficient Akita mice, who develop diabetes as a result of a mutation in the insulin gene, revealed reduced cristal density and greater mean area but unchanged mitochondrial numbers in affected compared with wild-type (WT) mice (42). Conversely, observations by the same group (34) revealed that mitochondrial number was increased and that mitochondria were smaller in cardiac muscle of db/db obese diabetic mice compared with WT. db/db mice have a defect in the leptin receptor, leading to obesity and hyperinsulinemia (as opposed to the insulin-defective Akita mice) and manifest steatosis in cardiomyocytes. Possibly, the contrast between these models relates to the extent of lipid accumulation, which was marked in cardiomyocytes of the obese model.

In summary, it is clear that morphologic changes in mitochondria occur in diabetic states, although the data are not completely consistent over different studies. One difficulty is that different methods have been used for assessment. Moreover, once mitochondria are isolated and removed from the in vivo environment or fixed within tissue preparations, factors such as osmotic forces, tissue turgor, or membrane integrity may be altered and could easily affect mitochondrial size and morphology.

2. Fission/fusion. Besides mitochondrial number and morphology, mitochondrial metabolism also depends on the dynamic movement and distribution of the organelles, which tend to localize to intracellular sites where ATP production is most essential (196). As mitochondria move within cells, they undergo both fission, needed for distribution and networking, and fusion, needed for mixing of the mitochondrial genome. These processes depend on certain proteins, including two isoforms of mitofusin (MFN) involved in docking to initiate fusion and the presenillin-associated rhomboid-like (PARL) protein important for morphologic integrity (63). Evidence now indicates that obesity in both humans and rodents is associated with reduced MFN (19). Moreover, polymorphisms of PARL in humans are associated with insulin resistance (325).
3. Mitochondrial biogenesis. Perturbed mitochondrial biogenesis has been suggested as the cause for reduced mitochondrial number as well as reduced capacity for oxidative phosphorylation in diabetes. An important factor driving mitochondrial biogenesis at the molecular level is the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARγ) coactivator or PGC-1α. PGC-1α represents a coactivator of nuclear transcription factors (NRFs) 1 and 2 and mitochondrial transcription factor A, as well as PPARγ and PPARα (89, 334). These factors regulate the expression of genes involved in mitochondrial replication as well as oxidative phosphorylation (334). PGC-1α also serves to coactivate transcription factors for several other genes involved in energy homeostasis (100).

The regulation of PGC-1α is an evolving issue. As might be expected, given its importance in bioenergetics, PGC-1α transcription or activity or both are enhanced by two important enzymes viewed as metabolic sensors: AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) and the mammalian counterpart of silent information regulator 2 (SIRT1). These enzymes alter PGC-1α through phosphorylation or deacetylation, respectively. States of energy depletion, such as reduced caloric intake and exercise, result in an increase in the ratio of AMP to ATP, thereby activating AMPK (41, 52, 266). In turn, this increases PGC-1α transcription and directly activates PGC-1α through phosphorylation. Caloric restriction or exercise also increases tissue NAD⁺ content relative to NADH and, thereby, activates the NAD⁺-dependent histone deacetylase, SIRT1. SIRT1 enhances PGC-1α by deacetylation at specific lysine residues (272).

Nitric oxide also appears important as a regulator of biogenesis (238). As indicated in Section II.C, nitric oxide acutely inhibits mitochondrial respiration by binding to cytochrome c oxidase (41). Conversely, smaller and prolonged increases in NO induce mitochondrial biogenesis, as observed in several cell types (239). Moreover, NO-stimulated biogenesis was observed in brown adipose, muscle, and heart tissues and appeared dependent on PGC-1α as well as cyclic GMP (239).

Overexpression of PGC-1α in cultured muscle cells increased β-oxidation of fatty acids and reduced acetyl carnitine levels, which reflect partial breakdown products of β-oxidation (168). Overexpression of PGC-1α in mouse skeletal muscle increased muscle glucose uptake as well as the expression of proteins involved in fat oxidation and glucose transport (27). Consistent with this finding, mice deficient in PGC-1α were found to have defective contractility of skeletal and heart muscle (15, 89). Moreover, PGC-1α enhanced oxidative phosphorylation and appeared a major factor regulating the muscle fiber type favoring the generation of oxidative type 1 muscle fibers (198).

Considering this, PGC-1α might be important in the pathogenesis of insulin-resistant states, and defects in PGC-1α expression or activity might result in reduced insulin sensitivity. Muscle-biopsy studies showed that PGC-1α is reduced in patients with type 2 diabetes (215, 222, 247) as well as in family members of individuals with type 2 diabetes (247). Moreover, PGC-1α expression could be restored in type 2 diabetes by the insulin-sensitizing drug, rosiglitazone (215).

Conversely, mice deficient in muscle PGC-1α have normal peripheral insulin sensitivity (129), and globally deficient mice are resistant to diet-induced obesity, although associated with many other systemic problems (191, 199). Moreover, reduced PGC-1α or reductions in down-line transcription factors were not seen in muscle biopsy specimens from family members of subjects with type 2 diabetes, even though these subjects had increased intramyocellular lipid and decreased numbers of mitochondria (225). Thus, factors other than PGC-1α appear involved in the relations between mitochondria and insulin resistance.

Liver also represents an important insulin-sensitive tissue wherein mitochondrial biogenesis may be critical to the pathogenesis of diabetes. In hepatocytes, PGC-1α is important in the regulation of both gluconeogenesis and fat oxidation (271). The NAD⁺-dependent histone deacetylase, SIRT1 increases gluconeogenesis in liver cells through its effects on PGC-1α (272). Consistent with this, mice deficient in PGC-1α develop hepatic steatosis and are prone to hyperglycemia (191, 199), among several other multisystem abnormalities.

4. Mitochondrial function in type 2 diabetes and insulin-resistant states. As discussed earlier, type 2 diabetes is a progressive disorder worsening over time. The reasons(s) underlying the onset and worsening of this condition are still unresolved. However, considerable suspicion falls on mitochondrial function, in regard to both insulin resistance and insulin secretion.

Studies of human subjects and rodents provide evidence for impaired oxidative phosphorylation in muscle mitochondria in insulin-resistant states. Kelley et al. (161) studied mitochondria isolated from human muscle biopsy specimens obtained from type 2 diabetes, obese, and lean individuals. These investigators demonstrated reduced NADH oxidoreductase and reduced citrate synthase activity in the mitochondria of the diabetes and obese subjects compared with lean subjects. Citrate synthase governs the condensation of acetyl-CoA with oxaloacetate generating citrate and is important in setting the rate of the TCA cycle. Mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation has been also been assessed in human muscle in vivo by using 13C nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) to assess TCA flux rates along with 31P NMR to assess phosphorylation of ADP. These studies showed that skeletal muscle oxidative phosphorylation was impaired in insulin-resistant offspring of individuals with type 2 diabetes, associated with increased intramyocellular lipid (253). Similar findings were reported in muscle of elderly subjects with insulin resistance compared with young controls (252). In a further study, type 2 diabetes was characterized by increased lipid content in myocytes, as well as by a relative decrease in the proportion of enzymes regulating oxidative as opposed to glycolytic metabolism (131).

Consistent with this, evidence exists of decreased mRNA expression of several genes associated with oxidative phosphorylation, including genes coordinately regulated by PGC-1α and nuclear respiratory factors (222, 247, 334). This has been observed not only in subjects with type 2 diabetes but also in first-degree relatives. Evidence at the protein level suggests that muscle of subjects with type 2 diabetes manifests impaired ATP production, suggested by reduced ATP synthase and creatine kinase B (139).

Although this is consistent with impaired mitochondrial function in type 2 diabetes per unit muscle tissue, controversy exists regarding whether mitochondria per se are defective or whether the problem is restricted to mitochondrial...
number. Boushel et al. (35) examined mitochondrial function in permeabilized skeletal muscle fibers of 11 subjects with type 2 diabetes. These investigators found reduced oxygen use under conditions of ADP stimulation (coupled respiration) and maximal uncoupling by carbonyl cyanide m-[trifluoromethoxy]-phenyl-hydrazone (FCCP) in the diabetic subjects compared with nondiabetic controls. However, the differences were resolved when the data were normalized to mitochondrial DNA or to citrate synthase activity. If these parameters actually reflect mitochondrial numbers, the implication is that the decreased respiration in type 2 diabetes can be attributed to reduced muscle mitochondrial content.

Studies of mitochondrial function also have been carried out in rodent models of type 2 diabetes. Boudina et al. (34) examined heart mitochondrial function in saponin-permeabilized heart muscle fibers isolated from insulin-resistant, diabetic, leptin receptor–deficient db/db mice compared with lean controls. These investigators reported decreased respiration on complex 1 substrates and palmitoyl-carnitine, associated with proportionately reduced ATP production and therefore no change in ADP/O ratios. These investigators also reported decreased content of the F_{1} F_{0}-subunit of ATP synthase and an increase in fatty acid–induced proton conductance based on proton-leak kinetics. These findings were associated with reduced cardiac muscle function in the db/db mice.

High-fat feeding is associated with insulin resistance (286) and may lead to oversupply of fatty acids to mitochondria. In humans, high-fat feeding results in downregulation of several genes associated with oxidative phosphorylation and mitochondrial biogenesis (298). Recent metabolomic studies in rodents suggest that enhanced fat metabolism seen with high-fat feeding overloads mitochondria with β-oxidation products in a way that restricts their ability to metabolize these products completely to CO_{2} and restricts their capacity to switch from fat to glucose oxidation (228). Koves et al. (170) showed that high-fat feeding increased muscle even chain acylcarnitines and acid-soluble metabolites of labeled fatty acids; these compounds representing products of incomplete β oxidation. This was associated with decreased TCA intermediates and pyruvate, indicating protection against hepatic steatosis. Again, this underscores basic differences in lipid handling between muscle and liver with packaging and export in liver compared with the more singular role of lipid oxidation in muscle.

Evidence also exists for altered mitochondrial function of adipocytes in type 2 diabetes. Mitochondrial function, as indicated by respiration and fatty acid oxidation, were reported to be decreased in db/db mice, a lean receptor–deficient obese model of type 2 diabetes (61). Mitochondrial numbers, as indicated by mtDNA and histologic tagging with the marker “MitoTracker,” also were reduced. To the extent that these findings might be applicable to human type 2 diabetes, it is possible that reduced adipose mitochondrial function may result in a lack of suppression of lipolysis. Because fatty acids impair muscle and liver insulin sensitivity (177), the consequence increase in fatty acid release due to adipose mitochondrial dysfunction could contribute to the insulin resistance of type 2 diabetes. Further, this could be compounded by adipocyte release of inflammatory cytokines associated with increased fat mass. The insulin-sensitizing thiazolidinedione drugs seem to improve adipose mitochondrial function (30), possibly a mechanism for improved whole-body insulin sensitivity.

5. Is mitochondrial impairment a cause of insulin resistance? As discussed in the preceding section, insulin-resistant states are associated with mitochondrial dysfunction or decreased mitochondrial content or both. However, whether mitochondrial dysfunction is the cause of insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes or is a consequence of this disorder remains controversial. Insulin action results from a cascade of events after insulin interaction with its subunits of the insulin receptor (IR), which extends outward from the external surface of the cell membrane (251, 350). In response to an insulin-induced conformational change in the internal or β subunits of the receptor, tyrosine residues undergo autophosphorylation, and the IR acquires tyrosine kinase activity, phosphorylating the intracellular insulin receptor substrate (IRS) family of molecules. This results in downstream activation of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) and activation of AKT. In muscle and fat, which express the insulin-sensitive glucose transporter type 4 isoform (GLUT4), AKT induces translocation of GLUT4 to the cell membrane, resulting in increased glucose uptake. In liver, insulin activate enzymes that impair gluconeogenesis and reduce hepatic glucose output. In endothelial cells, insulin activates endothelial nitric oxide synthase, resulting in vasodilation (227, 251). Apart from these
effects, insulin signaling also triggers protein–protein interactions, which activate the mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway, favoring cell growth and mitogenesis.

As depicted in Fig. 7 for muscle cells, a rationale exists whereby mitochondrial dysfunction (or reduced mitochondrial density) might impair insulin signaling (177). Mitochondrial dysfunction should lead to impaired fatty acid oxidation, resulting in increased intracellular fatty acyl-CoA and diacylglycerol content, with consequent activation of protein kinase C (1, 204). This, in turn, triggers a serine kinase cascade, ultimately resulting in serine phosphorylation of insulin-receptor substrate type 1 (IRS-1). This has the consequence of blocking the tyrosine kinase activity of the IR on IRS-1, thereby blocking the insulin signaling pathway (Fig. 7).

An additional way that mitochondrial dysfunction may result in insulin resistance might follow from excess production of ROS, a topic discussed later (Section V.A.2) in more detail. In support of a role for ROS, Houstis et al. (142) reported that 3T3-L1 adipocytes, treated with either tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) or glucocorticoids, generated more hydrogen peroxide, expressed genes associated with oxidative stress, and exhibited higher levels of protein carbonylation; the latter representing a marker of cumulative oxidative stress. These authors suggested mitochondrial involvement because TNF-α and dexamethasone can induce mitochondrial ROS through ceramide formation. Moreover, manganese (III) tetrakis (4-benzoic acid) porphyrin (MnTBAP) prevented the effect of TNF-α or dexamethasone to reduce serine phosphorylation of AKT (an important parameter of insulin signaling) and prevented threonine phosphorylation of c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK), a kinase linked to insulin resistance (137). MnTBAP has catalytic effects similar to those of the antioxidant enzymes superoxide dismutase and catalase (142).

Clinical evidence indicates that defects in mitochondrial function may be a primary cause of insulin resistance. Family members of persons with insulin-resistant type 2 diabetes (247) demonstrate reduced expression of the PGC-1α and PGC-1β, coactivators of NRF-1 and PPARγ, dependent transcription involved in the expression of multiple genes associated with oxidative phosphorylation. In addition, persons with impaired glucose tolerance or “prediabetes” also show evidence of reduced expression of oxidative phosphorylation genes (222). Further, women with polycystic ovarian syndrome (PCO), and therefore at risk for type 2 diabetes, show a reduction in nuclear genes involved with mitochondrial oxidative metabolism (293).

Conversely, such clinical evidence for a primary role of mitochondria in insulin resistance is debatable. This is because insulin resistance is already present in persons with impaired glucose tolerance and in family members of individuals with type 2 diabetes. Moreover, women with PCO are often already insulin resistant, a common trait associated with PCO. Hence it is difficult clearly to ascertain whether defects in mitochondria occur before or after the onset on insulin resistance. Evidence also suggests that insulin signaling may be required for intact mitochondrial function. Prolonged insulin infusion increases mitochondrial ATP production (301) in vastus lateralis muscle and ATP synthesis (254, 303) in healthy controls, whereas subjects with type 2 diabetes were resistant to this effect of insulin.

Elegant studies of relatives of persons with type 2 diabetes with magnetic resonance spectroscopy revealed impaired oxidative phosphorylation (253); impaired stimulated ATP

**FIG. 7.** Effect of mitochondrial dysfunction to inhibit insulin signaling in GLUT4-expressing muscle cells. The schematic diagram depicts major steps in insulin signal transduction as well as the consequences of excess fatty acyl-CoA and ROS production on insulin signaling. Insulin interacts with α-subunits of its receptor (IR), which extends outward from the cell membrane. In response to an induced conformational change in the internal or β-subunits of the receptor, tyrosine residues undergo autophosphorylation, and the IR acquires tyrosine kinase activity. This leads to phosphorylation of insulin-receptor substrate-1 (IRS-1), triggering a downstream cascade leading to activation of Akt and translocation of the glucose transporter type 4 (GLUT4) to the cell membrane. GLUT4 fusion with the membrane results in glucose uptake by facilitated diffusion. Mitochondrial dysfunction is depicted to oppose insulin signaling in two ways: first, by interfering with oxidation of fatty acyl-CoA and consequent accumulation of intracellular lipid and diacylglycerol, and second, through generation of ROS. Both processes activate serine kinase reactions, leading to serine phosphorylation of IRS-1 and interference with insulin signal transduction. IRS-1, insulin receptor substrate-1; GLUT4, glucose transporter 4; FA, fatty acid; FATPs, various transport proteins that have been described as active in fatty acid uptake.
synergistic effects (254); increased intramyocellular lipid with decreased glucose uptake and reduced mitochondrial density (225); and decreased TCA-cycle substrate oxidation (26). Hence, these studies might argue for a genetic predisposition to type 2 diabetes involving genes important in mitochondrial function. However, it is important that, even though diabetes was not present at the time of investigation, individuals in these studies had insulin resistance at the time of study, so again, it is difficult to conclude that mitochondrial dysfunction caused insulin resistance.

It might be expected that a transcription factor that induced mitochondrial biogenesis might be important in the pathogenesis of insulin-resistant states. However, as stated earlier, mice deficient in muscle PGC-1α have normal peripheral insulin sensitivity (129). Moreover, globally deficient mice are resistant to diet-induced obesity. The meaning of this is not clear, because these animals have a number of problems affecting multiple organs including the central nervous system (191, 199). In addition, muscles of mice deficient in certain oxidative phosphorylation genes do not show reduced insulin-responsive glucose utilization in vitro. These gene defects include deletion of the mitochondrial flavoprotein apoptosis-inducing factor, which can initiate progressive dysfunction of oxidative phosphorylation (260, 316), and deletion of mitochondrial transcription factor A, which results in mice with myopathy and progressively deteriorating respiratory-chain function (332, 333).

PGC-1α also plays a critical role in regulating nutrient flux in the liver. Low-glucose and low-nutrient conditions (possibly through increased NAD+ or NAD+/NADH) activate the NAD+-dependent histone deacetylase, SIRT1, which, as discussed in Section IV.C.3, deacetylates and activates PGC-1α (271). In turn, PGC-1α activates transcription factors including FoxO1 and HNF4α, thereby inducing metabolic genes important for gluconeogenesis and fat oxidation. However, any defect in hepatic PGC-1α that might reduce gluconeogenesis would decrease rather than increase circulating glucose, so it does not seem that such a defect would be causative of insulin resistance. In fact, knockdown of PGC-1α and SIRT1 in mice can reduce hepatic glucose output, reduce glycemia, increase insulin sensitivity, and also lead to increased hepatic free fatty acid content (273).

To summarize this, the pathophysiology of insulin resistance is, at least in part, related to the inability of liver and skeletal muscle to effectively oxidize fatty acids at the mitochondrial level. It is quite reasonable to think that mitochondria play an important role in regard to insulin resistance. However, whether defects in mitochondria are primary or secondary to the process remains uncertain. In any case, it is important to realize that even if mitochondrial dysfunction were secondary to insulin resistance, mitochondrial defects could add to hyperglycemia once the insulin resistance is in place and lead to progressive worsening of the diabetic state.

6. Mitochondrial respiratory coupling and insulin release. In addition to their effects on insulin sensitivity in insulin-target tissues, mitochondria may play an important role in modulating pancreatic islet β cell insulin secretion, also a critical element in the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes (Fig. 8). As suggested by Fig. 8, any component of mitochondrial function that could alter ATP production should have major impact on the capacity of glucose to trigger insulin secretion. In particular, uncoupling protein 2 (UCP2), the UCP sub-type expressed in islets, would be suspect, given its effect to reduce ATP production at any given level of fuel oxidation. Indeed, Zhang et al. (346) reported that mice genetically deficient in UCP2 manifest higher islet ATP levels and increased glucose-stimulated insulin release. The role of UCP2 was further examined in leptin-deficient obese ob/ob mice (346). These mice are characterized by impaired first-phase insulin release; in other words, acute release occurring over ~10 min after an exposure to a rapid increase in glucose. UCP2 knockout (KO) in these mice restored first-phase insulin release (346). In addition, UCP2 KO reduced blood glucose and increased insulin when measured in the fed state in ob/ob mice observed over a period of 15 weeks. Interestingly, a kinetic analysis (9) revealed that the ATP/ADP ratio was much more regulated by mitochondria in islet β cells (modeled by INS-1E insulinoma cells) than by mitochondria of skeletal muscle, supporting the importance of mitochondria in regulating islet insulin secretion. Further, evidence indicates that the impaired insulin secretion observed during treatment of isolated islets with high glucose can be mitigated by UCP2 knockdown (176) and that UCP2 KO protects mice from fatty acid oxidation.

FIG. 8. Role of mitochondria in regulating insulin secretion. As shown, glucose sensing and glucose-induced insulin release is dependent on mitochondrial ATP generation and affected by both mitochondrial ROS and UCP2. ATP is essential for opening of potassium ATP channels and, therefore, for entry of calcium and insulin release from storage granules. Under conditions of hyperglycemia, it is possible that excess ROS may lead to oxidative damage, gradually impairing insulin secretion over time, with worsening of the diabetic state. +, Positive effect. Dash, Negative effect. VDCC, voltage dependent calcium channel; GK, glucokinase.
acid–induced impairment in glucose-induced insulin release (152, 153).

As opposed to UCP knockdown, overexpression of UCP2 inhibits glucose-induced insulin release, as demonstrated by our laboratory with INS-1 cells (140) and by Chan et al. (55) in cultured pancreatic islets. In contrast, Wang et al. (326) found that adenoviral expression of UCP2 in islets isolated from ZDF rats increased proinsulin and improved glucose-induced insulin secretion. However, this discrepancy may be explained by the effect of UCP on fat oxidation in islets from these rats, because ZDF islets are known to contain large amounts of fat, inducing a lipotoxic state. The in vivo depletion of islet fat as a result of troglitazone treatment of these animals induced UCP2 expression, reduced islet fat, and improved insulin secretion (291). Additional work by Krauss et al. (176) showed that induction of UCP2 by endogenous superoxide impaired insulin secretion from isolated islets in WT but not UCP2 KO mice.

In past years, the major factors regulating glucose-induced insulin secretion have been considered to be glycolysis and glucokinase (the hexokinase predominantly expressed in β cells) (211). However, these considerations now direct attention to mitochondria with a major role for UCP2 in modulating mitochondrial respiration and membrane potential, ATP production, and therefore insulin release (8).

Nonesterified fatty acids associated with obesity and type 2 diabetes may impair islet function by several mechanisms, as recently reviewed (194). These include fatty acid–induced apoptosis, accumulation of malonyl-CoA, and consequent accumulation of cytoplasmic fatty acyl-CoA molecules, decreased insulin gene transcription, and induction of ROS. The role of ROS in islet function is discussed in Section V.A.1. Conversely, Moore et al. (221) found that fatty acid inhibition of insulin secretion in cultured pancreatic islets was not associated with increased peroxide or nitric oxide and was not prevented by antioxidants. However, the effect could be reproduced by diacylglycerol, suggesting some effect downstream of this metabolite.

At present, little is known about how mitochondria affect islet function in human type 2 diabetes. Nonetheless, from our discussion of “mitochondrial diabetes” (Section IV.B), it is clear that mitochondria do affect insulin secretion in humans.

7. Mitochondrial function in insulin-deficient diabetes. Reports of mitochondrial function in insulin-deficient diabetic states date back to the 1950s. Early studies revealed decreased respiration on complex I or complex II substrates in liver and muscle mitochondria isolated from rodents or cats made diabetic by pancratedectomy, alloxan, or streptozotocin (38, 119, 127, 322). Other more recent reports describe mitochondrial bioenergetics in mitochondria from different tissues obtained from insulin-deficient diabetic rats. Overall, the results appear somewhat variable, suggesting that respiration is impaired in mitochondria isolated from heart and brain mitochondria (101, 223) but actually increased in kidney mitochondria respiring on succinate or complex I substrates (159). More recent studies of heart and kidney mitochondria from STZ diabetic rats revealed no significant change in respiration (223).

Recently Bugger et al. (42) studied heart mitochondria of insulin-deficient Akita mice which develop diabetes because of a defect in the insulin gene, Ins2 (42). These authors reported decreased state 3 respiration on complex I substrates pyruvate and glutamate but not on palmitoyl carnitine. Coupling of oxidation and phosphorylation was not altered on any substrate, as assessed with ADP/O ratios or with proton-leak kinetics. The ATP production rate was reduced on the complex I substrates but in proportion to reduced oxygen consumption, explaining the lack of change in the indices of respiratory coupling. We recently reported that heart and gastrocnemius muscle mitochondria isolated from STZ-diabetic rats manifest reduced maximally uncoupled respiration on FCCP but without a significant difference in state 3 respiration, ADP/O ratio, or ATP-production rate (134). These findings were more pronounced in 8-week than in 2-week diabetic rats. Based on the kinetic relation between hydrogen transfer and membrane potential, our data indicated that the proton conductance of muscle mitochondria of the diabetic rats was reduced compared with nondiabetic controls (curve shifted down and to the right; that is, opposite to what would be expected if diabetic muscle mitochondria were uncoupled). We do not know the reason we observed more efficient coupling without altered ATP production, but this could have resulted from a defect in a protein involved in oxidative phosphorylation or in ATP. Such defects were reported in the previously noted study of heart mitochondria of Akita mice (42). We also examined liver mitochondria of the STZ-diabetic rats and observed no significant perturbations in respiration, respiratory coupling, or proton-leak kinetics, although a strong trend was noted toward reduced respiration. In a recent study of heart mitochondria isolated from hyperglycemic ketotic and hyperglycemic nonketotic streptozotocin diabetic rats, Lashin and Romani (186) reported reduced state 3 respiration but only in the ketotic animals associated with an increase in state 4 respiration. These authors suggested that insulin was an important factor regulating mitochondrial function, because the concentrations of this hormone were much lower in the ketotic animals.

A recent study of muscle mitochondria isolated from humans with type 1 diabetes showed that brief discontinuation of insulin therapy resulted in a decrease in ATP production by the isolated organelles (157). Whole-body oxygen consumption was increased in these subjects. Although these authors considered that respiratory uncoupling might explain their results, they were careful to point out that no data to support this. The authors suggested that the known increased splanchnic oxygen consumption that characterizes type 1 diabetes might account for their results. Human studies are limited by tissue availability and by difficulty studying the untreated disease state, especially in type 1 diabetes which would require insulin discontinuation.

Although these studies have not generated consistent results, taken together, they seem to suggest that respiration and perhaps ATP production in muscle and heart mitochondria are impaired under conditions of insulin deficiency, at least when mitochondria are isolated and studied ex vivo. Respiratory uncoupling in heart and skeletal muscle is not evident, perhaps because of proportional reduction in respiration and ATP synthesis.

Interestingly, UCP3 expression is increased in insulin-deficient diabetic rodents but with no associated increase (42) or an actual decrease (134) in the proton conductance (decrease in the proton leak) of isolated mitochondria incubated
in vitro. This resembles the discordance in UCP3 expression and proton-leak activity seen in rodents subject to starvation (44) or lipopolysaccharide-induced free fatty acid release (344). The reasons for this discordance are not clear, although we suggest that this might result from a reduction in coenzyme Q content (Section VII) or in superoxide production (Section V.A.2), which activates UCP3 (94).

The mitochondrial membrane permeability transition induced by inorganic phosphate, uncouplers, or prooxidants results in calcium-induced ROS production (321). This has been attributed to structural changes in the of the inner mitochondrial membrane lipids, resulting in disorganization of proteins of the respiratory chain. Oliveria et al. (244) reported that heart mitochondria isolated from 21-day STZ-diabetic rats with severe hyperglycemia demonstrated increased sensitivity to calcium-triggered reduction in membrane potential. Prevention of this with cyclosporin suggested that this was due to greater susceptibility of these mitochondria to opening of the MPTP (244). Conversely, milder hyperglycemia observed in the Goto-Kakizaki diabetic rat model was associated with upregulation of antiapoptotic proteins (116), a phenomenon that might represent an adaptive change to a milder condition. Taken together, these studies suggest that diabetes-induced changes in calcium transport and ROS may evolve over time but eventually result in more permanent or irreversible damage (or both) and apoptosis.

8. Diabetes and mitochondrial function in non–insulin-sensitive tissues. Glucose uptake by many cell types occurs by facilitated diffusion independent of circulating insulin but highly affected by the blood glucose concentration. In particular, this is the case for the nervous system, vascular endothelium, retina, and kidney, tissues most susceptible to the long-term complications of diabetes. Hyperglycemia in these insulin-independent tissues appears to generate increased mitochondrial substrates for the ETS and to increase the propensity for ROS production (87, 237). Therefore, much work attempting to link mitochondria to the long-term complications of diabetes has focused on the role of ROS. This is discussed in more detail in Section V.B.

Consistent with excess ROS production, retinal capillary cells exposed to hyperglycemia become dysfunctional and manifest proapoptotic BAX translocation (171). Mitochondria and cytosol isolated from retinal endothelial cells and pericytes of rats with STZ-induced diabetes revealed increased cytochrome c release to the cytosol and translocation of the proapoptotic protein BAX to mitochondria (172). Cui et al. (76) reported that UCP2 expression was increased in cultured retinal capillary cells exposed to high glucose. This was postulated to be an attempt to adapt to high mitochondrial membrane potential and to protect against resulting ROS. Another study of retinal mitochondria from STZ diabetic rats reported a reduction in the NAD+/NADH ratio, consistent with hypoxia (242).

Diabetes-induced mitochondria changes also have been reported in renal cells. Recent immunohistochemical studies revealed an increase in proximal tubular UCP2 expression in STZ diabetic rats (108). This was reversible by insulin, suggesting that hyperglycemia and not STZ toxicity was the cause. Isolated mitochondria from kidneys of these diabetic rats manifest increased glutamate-stimulated oxygen consumption, which was blocked by GDP (which inhibits uncoupling protein activity). The authors interpreted this as evidence for increased uncoupling associated with the increase in UCP2. These results appear at odds with another recent report showing increased mitochondrial membrane potential in kidney mitochondria of STZ diabetic rats and reduced UCP2 by immunoblotting of mitochondrial protein (79). Interestingly, these changes were prevented with an angiotensin-receptor blocker (which also reduced H2O2 production). The differences between these two reports could reflect marked differences in methods, with the former study focusing on proximal tubular UCP2 rather than whole-kidney mitochondria. These studies also examined different degrees of severity and duration of STZ diabetes; the former report examined a short (2-week) duration of diabetes with a lower dose of STZ.

Recent studies of brain mitochondria isolated from streptozotocin-treated rats revealed decreased respiration during state 3 and state 4, with no change in the ADP/O ratio (223), raising the possibility of impaired electron transport or ATP synthase. These changes were not observed in kidney mitochondria.

9. Mitochondria and cell-fuel selectivity. The ability of cells to switch between substrate utilization is essential to survival, as is obvious when fat stores are used by muscle during starvation. Moreover, the importance of fuel selectivity is underscored by studies indicating that “metabolic inflexibility” or impaired capacity to switch between nutrient utilization, in particular between fatty acid and glucose oxidation, has a pathogenic role in the insulin resistance commonly seen in type 2 diabetes and obesity (228). Metabolic inflexibility may also affect the vascular complications of diabetes, because a switch to glucose utilization represents an important cardiac adaptation to stress (33).

According to the classic Randle hypothesis, fat and glucose metabolism compete and undergo regulation based on the acetyl-CoA/CoA ratio and citrate concentrations, with consequent effects on enzymes regulating glucose and fat metabolism. Later work did not verify this, but placed emphasis on intracellular signaling pathways (270). More recent metabolic studies now suggest that enhanced fat metabolism seen with high-fat feeding leads to high levels of β-oxidation products that alter mitochondria in a way that restrict the ability to switch to glucose oxidation (228). Insulin deficiency, like high-fat feeding, is also a state associated with increased circulating fatty acids and accelerated delivery to mitochondria. Therefore, it seems plausible that mitochondria might be altered in similar fashion in insulin-deficient states and lose the capacity to switch from fat to glucose metabolism.

Of course, many factors regulate nutrient selectivity. Prominent among these are AMPK, which can stimulate both glucose and fat oxidation through activation of myriad targets; the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex (PDC), essential for pyruvate entry into mitochondria; CPT-I and CPT-II, essential for fatty acyl-CoA entry; malonyl-CoA, which inhibits CPT; AKT, which activates glucose transport by GLUT4 (and many other steps); and phosphofructokinase (PFK), an important regulator of glycolysis.

We recently reported data showing that a mitochondria-targeted coenzyme Q analogue, designed as an antioxidant, altered intact-cell fuel selectivity, favoring glucose use over fatty acid oxidation. This is discussed later (Section VIII.D).
10. Diabetic cardiomyopathy and mitochondrial function. Diabetes is associated with ischemia and hypertension, both of which contribute to cardiomyopathy. Moreover, circulating FFA concentrations are increased because of insulin resistance or decreased circulating insulin or both. When the cardiac supply of FFAs exceeds oxidative capacity, intramyocardial triglyceride accumulation and lipotoxicity compound the problem of cardiomyopathy. Hypertension and triglyceride accumulation are associated with diastolic dysfunction (267, 317), a major characteristic of diabetic cardiomyopathy.

As mentioned in the previous section, “metabolic flexibility” is particularly important to cardiac tissue under stress conditions. Given the need for large amounts of ATP to match cardiac work requirements, it is not surprising that the heart has evolved to use fatty acids efficiently for energy; this fuel type provides optimal ATP production per molecule metabolized (317). By comparison, glucose oxidation provides less ATP per molecule oxidized. However, glucose oxidation requires less oxygen per ATP generated. Hence, glucose use becomes advantageous when the oxygen supply relative to work is limited, as applies in diabetic cardiomyopathy, especially in the face of enhanced work demand.

Unfortunately, insulin resistance or insulin deficiency or both, which represent the fundamental characteristics of diabetes, impair the ability to switch to carbohydrate metabolism. This is compounded by intracellular events resulting from lipotoxicity (Fig. 7). Moreover, accelerated fat metabolism will generate ROS, which will lead to mitochondrial respiratory uncoupling, which will further decrease ATP production per unit O2 consumed. These downward-spiraling events result in a scenario wherein the myocardium is faced with a need to use more glucose but, at the same time, an impaired capacity to do so (Fig. 9). To counter this progressive scenario, treatments are needed that encourage metabolic flexibility, in particular, the capacity to switch to glucose oxidation. This is further discussed in Section VIII. Evidence implicates impaired mitochondrial function very early in the course of events leading to diabetes and diabetic cardiomyopathy. Katakam et al. (158) documented abnormalities in heart mitochondria of insulin-resistant Zucker obese rats, compared with Zucker lean, at age 10–12 weeks; a time at which the animals were euglycemic and, thus, in the prediabetic stage. The abnormalities included decreased mitochondrial numbers, increased MnSOD, and dysmorphic features of swelling, disorganized cristae, and vacuolation. These findings were associated with impairment of effective ischemic preconditioning, a property dependent on mitochondrial ATP-activated potassium channels.

11. Summary. Salient points are as follows. As opposed to specific mutations that affect mitochondrial proteins (mitochondrial diabetes), the role of mitochondria in the pathophysiology of type I and type II diabetes is much more diffuse and involves both insulin sensitivity and secretion. Defects in mitochondrial morphology, fission, fusion, biogenesis, and oxidative phosphorylation are all associated with insulin resistance. Work is needed the better to define and prioritize these defects and to determine whether mitochondrial dysfunction is a cause or consequence of insulin resistance. With respect to insulin secretion, any perturbation affecting mitochondrial ATP function will alter insulin release; in particular, mitochondrial uncoupling has attracted recent interest. Controversy exists regarding the capacity for insulin-deficient diabetic muscle and heart mitochondria to generate ATP, with discordant findings over different models.

Diabetes is characterized by excess glucose and fatty acid flux to non-insulin-sensitive tissues, which may enhance mitochondrial substrate supply and ROS production. Excess fatty acid flux to muscle and heart alters nutrient selectivity and impairs metabolic flexibility. An important consequence is impaired capacity of the heart to use glucose under stress conditions.

V. Mitochondrial ROS and Diabetes

The previous section was directed primarily at mitochondrial metabolism as related to diabetes. Here we focus on a critical related aspect: that of mitochondrial ROS production. Reasons exist to believe that mitochondrial ROS are involved

---

**FIG. 9.** Events associated with diabetic cardiomyopathy contributing to the incongruity between glucose need and glucose oxidation (rectangular boxes). Ischemia, pressure load (often related to hypertension), and lipid overload all contribute to greater oxygen demand. This is compounded by ROS and fatty acid–induced uncoupling, which increases the oxygen requirement for a given degree of ADP conversion to ATP. These events might be offset if the myocardium could more efficiently use glucose rather than fat, because the former requires less oxygen per molecule ATP produced. Unfortunately, insulin resistance or defective insulin secretion or both, as well as excess lipid supply, all decrease the capacity of the heart to use glucose when it is needed most.
in both the pathogenesis and long-term complications of diabetes. This follows from evidence that elevated glucose or free fatty acids or both drive the formation of ROS (87, 237, 335), impairing both β-cell insulin release and insulin sensitivity and contributing to the complications of diabetes (31, 87, 117). Exactly how exposing cells to high glucose or fatty acid concentrations or both leads to increased mitochondrial ROS production is a question that, we believe, requires more detailed resolution. The supposition is that metabolism of these nutrients generates high levels of substrate flux to mitochondria and, consequently, greater overall electron-transport activity and more electron leak.

Plasma levels of markers of lipid peroxides, such as 8-iso-prostaglandin F2α (138), conjugated dienes, and lipid hydroperoxides (210), are elevated early in the course of type 1 diabetes, whereas antioxidant capacity assayed as total plasma antioxidant capacity (TRAP) is reduced (210). Moreover, DNA damage is detectable in circulating lymphocytes of subjects with insulin-dependent diabetes and correlates to the extent of glucose elevation (65). Further, the extent of urinary 8-OHdG excretion, a marker of DNA damage, correlates with the extent of renal damage in subjects with type 2 diabetes (155).

Although we believe it is too simplistic to invoke ROS as the entire or unifying factor explaining diabetes and its complications, ROS are of major concern. Figure 10 depicts a simplistic scheme wherein ROS may be involved in a vicious self-perpetuating process favoring the development and worsening of the diabetic state. Details are discussed later.

A. ROS production and the cause of diabetes

Mitochondrial ROS appear important in the pathogenesis of impaired islet β-cell insulin secretion as seen in both type 1 and type 2 diabetes, as well as in the insulin resistance that characterizes type 2 diabetes. These two issues are addressed in the following sections: first with regard to islet β-cell function and then with regard to insulin sensitivity in insulin-responsive tissues.

1. Oxidative damage and pancreatic islet β cells. Type 1 diabetes is widely believed to result from autoimmune destruction of islet β cells. Although this appears the primary process, ROS production induced by inflammation may account for a significant part of the damage. The capacity for ROS to destroy pancreatic β cells is evident in the toxicity imposed by alloxan or streptozotocin, which are commonly used to induce diabetes for experimental purposes. These agents are known to cause free radical damage to islets (279, 312). Evidence indicates that treatment by overexpressing superoxide dismutase (179) or glutathione peroxidase (231) mitigates radical-induced islet damage due to alloxan or streptozotocin. It is also noteworthy that antioxidant protective enzymes, including SOD, catalase, and GPX, are expressed at lower levels in mouse islets compared with liver, kidney, brain, lung, skeletal muscle, heart, adrenal gland, and pituitary gland (190), accounting for the particular sensitivity of pancreatic β cells to cytotoxic damage by these diabetogenic compounds.

Prooxidant heme may have a role in the islet pathology of diabetes. Induction of heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1) with cobalt protoporphyrin (CoPP) in nonobese diabetic (NOD) mice decreased the blood glucose and increased antiapoptotic proteins in the pancreas (193). Heme oxygenase (HO) catalyzes the rate-limiting step in heme degradation, converting heme to biliverdin while consuming oxygen and generating Fe2+ and carbon monoxide (3). This may affect mitochondrial function, at least based on studies in renal mitochondria of diabetic rats. These studies showed that CoPP increased the expression of the carnitine, citrate, deoxynucleotide, dicarboxylate, and ADP/ATP carriers associated with an increase in cytochrome c oxidase activity and phosphorylation of the antiapoptotic proteins AKT and Bcl-XL (82).

Oxidative damage to islet β cells also has been observed in human type 2 diabetes by nitrotyrosine staining of islets obtained at autopsy (130). Islets from rats exposed to high fat in the form of oleate infused in vivo demonstrated impaired glucose-stimulated insulin release. This could be inhibited by the antioxidants taurine or N-acetylcysteine, which increase glutathione (245). When incubated ex vivo, the islets that had been exposed to oleate demonstrated increased H2O2 production, again preventable by the antioxidant compounds or by the SOD mimetic Tempol (4-hydroxy-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidinolxyloxy).

UCP2 may be important in ROS-mediated islet toxicity. Emre et al. (96) found that mice deficient in UCP2 were more sensitive to diabetes induced by multiple low doses of streptozotocin compared with wild-type mice. This was accompanied by evidence for increased damage due to ROS and nitric oxide radicals, along with greater intrasit lymphocytic infiltration. Hence, these changes were thought to be related to decreased mitochondrial oxidant protection normally mediated by UCP2. Conversely, these findings are somewhat opposite to the findings mentioned earlier (Section IV.C.6) wherein UCP2-deficient mice had higher islet ATP levels and increased glucose-stimulated insulin secretion (346). In this case, the protection was thought to be related to higher islet ATP or to the ATP/ADP ratio consequent on reduced UCP2. Hence, this poses a difficult question: Does ROS protection come at a cost (that is, increased superoxide induced uncoupling and reduced ATP). That would mean that any UCP2-mediated reduction in superoxide production would reduce ATP generation with negative rather than positive effects on glucose-induced insulin secretion. Conversely, it can be speculated that even at a cost of decreased ATP, UCP2-mediated reduction in superoxide

FIG. 10. Self-perpetuating vicious cycle wherein excess nutrient supply to islet cells and insulin-sensitive myocytes leads to worsening of insulin secretion and insulin action. Concurrently worsening glycemia and elevated free fatty acids (FFAs) lead to worsening diabetic complications.
might prevent irreversible damage to islet cells, whereas reduced ATP would, at most, induce a temporary functional loss of glucose-induced insulin release. These concepts are depicted in Fig. 8.

Nitric oxide (NO) may be important in the pathophysiology of autoimmune diabetes by a complex mechanism, in part involving mitochondria (175). NO has a positive effect on insulin secretion except when present at high levels, wherein the radical can become cytotoxic to β cells. The NO supply is regulated by inducible nitric oxide, which generates NO from L-arginine. At the same time, glutamine drives the formation of the protective antioxidant glutathione (GSH). These reactions appear to be involved in the β-cell destruction seen in early type 1 diabetes (175). Inflammation may result in glutamate consumption, decreasing the availability of GSH. Although poor nutrition or acidosis associated with insulin deficiency may compromise L-arginine production by the kidney, this reduction tends to be compensated by diversion of glutamate-induced GSH to glutamate-mediated production of L-arginine through the urea cycle (175). Although this would increase L-arginine (and NO), it would also decrease GSH-mediated protection. This situation is further compounded because β cells express low amounts of NADPH-dependent GSSH reductase.

2. ROS and oxidative damage in insulin-sensitive target tissues. It has been theorized that mitochondrial dysfunction in insulin-sensitive tissues, including muscle, heart, and liver, could contribute to deterioration in the diabetic state over time. A unifying explanation for both the insulin resistance and impaired insulin secretion that characterizes type 2 diabetes is ongoing damage to mitochondria of insulin-sensitive peripheral cells (288), along with progressive impairment in mitochondria of islet β cells (117).

Muscle represents the major peripheral tissue that transports and uses glucose in response to insulin. Given the dependency of glucose transport on insulin, mitochondria within the myocyte interior are not subject to the ROS driving force of glycerina in the same way as are non–insulin-sensitive tissues such as neural or endothelial cells. However, this is not the case for fatty acids, which circulate in higher concentrations in both type 1 and type 2 diabetes in the untreated state. Intramyocellular lipid content is elevated in humans with obesity, diabetes, and insulin resistance, and much of this lipid is actually localized near mitochondria (286) and is potentially sensitive to ROS-induced peroxidation. Skeletal muscle lipid peroxides are elevated in muscles of subjects with obesity and insulin resistance (277). These products induce UCP3-mediated uncoupling, which, in theory (see Section VI), may be beneficial by reducing ROS and enhancing export of toxic fatty acids (136). Conversely, this might be a purely compensatory response that could conceivably have a cost in terms of an uncoupling-induced decrease in ATP production.

Boudina et al. (34) reported an increase in ROS production, a decrease in ATP production, and an increase in a marker of oxidative damage (4-HNE) in heart mitochondria of insulin-resistant, obese, and leptin receptor–deficient db/db mice, a model of extreme obesity associated with diabetes. Conversely, this group noted a decrease in ROS production from heart mitochondria of an insulin-deficient model, the Akita mouse, which more closely resembles human type 1 diabetes (42). Hence, these findings suggest fundamental differences in the mechanisms underlying ROS production and ROS protection between heart mitochondria of insulin-deficient mice and mitochondria isolated from an obese, insulin-resistant strain.

We also noted no increase (or an actual decrease) in ROS production measured both as fluorescent H2O2 release and as superoxide with EPR from mitochondria of heart, gastrocnemius muscle, and liver of insulin-deficient rats made diabetic with streptozotocin (134). Our findings were associated with an upregulation of MnSOD and UCP3, as well as cytoplasmic catalase in heart and muscle and an increase in glutathione peroxidase in liver mitochondria. Our results are in agreement with the previously mentioned studies of insulin-deficient Akita mice, in that both reports show that insulin deficiency does not cause an intrinsic increase in mitochondrial superoxide production. However, the upregulation of antioxidant protection does suggest that islets isolated from insulin-deficient mice had been exposed to antecedent in vivo oxidative stress.

With respect to insulin-resistant states, in data as yet unpublished, we examined superoxide production both as H2O2 fluorescence and with EPR spectroscopy in mitochondria isolated from muscle and heart of rats subject to high-fat feeding along with a low dose of streptozotocin. These treatments led to a state resembling very mild human type 2 diabetes or “prediabetes,” defined as an increase in the fasting blood glucose to >100 mg/dl but not >125 mg/dl (10). Our results did not show excess superoxide production, indicating that the mitochondria, incubated in vitro, were not intrinsically altered to generate excess ROS.

Impaired aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) has been implicated in diabetic complications. As opposed to excess generation of ROS and products of oxidative damage, ALDH is important in detoxification. Impaired ALDH will increase levels of lipid peroxidation products such as 4-HNE, a reactive aldehyde that modifies proteins. An example is the FAD-containing subunit of succinate dehydrogenase, which is so modified in hearts of STZ-diabetic rats associated with defective mitochondrial respiration (187). Evidence suggests that glycoxidation or hyperglycemic pseudohypoxia or both impair ALDH and lead to accumulation of lipid peroxides in liver of insulin-deficient diabetic rats (311). Hyperglycemic pseudohypoxia refers to the increased NADH-to-NAD+ ratio observed in insulin-deficient diabetes without a decrease in tissue pO2 (328).

GSH content also is reduced in liver mitochondria of insulin-deficient STZ-diabetic rats, as we and others observed (21, 134). In our work, the reduction in GSH was associated with an increase in GPx expression, apparently in compensation for oxidative stress. Interestingly, mitochondria isolated from fatty liver of obese mice demonstrated opposite alterations, showing increased GSH and a reduction in GPx enzyme activity (336). This likely exemplifies the different pathophysiology of insulin deficiency compared with obesity and insulin resistance.

B. ROS and the complications of diabetes

High glucose or fatty acid flux or both to mitochondria and consequent production of mitochondrial oxygen radicals may be a major factor underlying the complications of diabetes.
Generation of mitochondrial oxygen radicals by endothelial cells exposed to a high circulating glucose level has been proposed as a mechanism for glycemic damage to the vasculature in diabetes mellitus (237). Glycemic effects of this nature have been reported for mitochondria of diverse cell types, including bovine endothelial cells (86, 237), retinal endothelial cells (87), renal mesangial cells (165), cardiomyocytes (338), and epineural blood vessels (70). Moreover, diabetes is associated with increased fatty acid oxidation and increased intracellular fat accumulation, both of which have been implicated in mitochondrial ROS generation (288, 335).

Multiple mechanisms exist by which ROS could lead to the complications of diabetes. As indicated in Section ILC, superoxide reacts with nitric oxide to form peroxynitrite. This will induce lipid peroxidation and consume nitric oxide, which can impair endothelium-mediated vasodilation. Superoxide can also damage iron–sulfur centers, reducing catalysis by enzymes such as aconitase (319). Moreover, hydrogen peroxide, produced from superoxide by MnSOD, can react with iron to form the very reactive hydroxyl molecule. Thus, mitochondrial superoxide generates other radicals, thereby imparting diffuse damage to protein, DNA, RNA, and lipids. Moreover, mitochondrial damage and consequent dysfunction will disrupt calcium transit and can induce the mitochondrial permeability transition, leading to apoptosis (146).

Based on studies in BAE cells, it has been posited that hyperglycemia-induced mitochondrial ROS lead to diabetic complications through at least three separate metabolic pathways (237), including generation of advanced glycosylation end products, protein kinase C (PKC) activation, and polyol formation. In the first case, glucose-induced ROS increase levels of methylglyoxal, which is known to induce the formation of advanced glycosylation end products. In addition, ROS-activated PKC can lead to diabetic complications by triggering the production of several proteins. Examples are renal mesangial matrix proteins, leading to glomerular damage (74) or platelet-derived growth factor and the vasoconstrictive endothelin-1, which are associated with diabetic retinopathy (340). Moreover, antioxidant administration decreases sorbitol accumulation in BAE cells exposed to high glucose. This implies that ROS increase glucose-driven polyol formation through the aldose reductase pathway, a mechanism linked to diabetic complications (237).

The next two sections address ROS damage; first to the non–insulin-sensitive tissues classically affected by diabetes (eye, kidney, and nervous tissue) and then to the vasculature per se.

1. Non–insulin-sensitive tissues (retina, renal, neural cells). As opposed to tissues such as muscle, liver, and heart, which depend on insulin for glucose transport and utilization, most tissues take up glucose by facilitated diffusion, independent of insulin (250). Hence, glucose influx is increased by high circulating glucose per se, thus rendering cells susceptible to consequences of excess supply. This applies to the classic sites of diabetic complications, including the retina, kidney, neurons, and vascular endothelial cells. It has been suggested that the excess glucose load results in increased substrate flow to mitochondria and consequent enhanced ROS production (236).

In transformed retinal cells (rMC-1s) and bovine retinal endothelial cells (BRECs), incubation in 25 mM, as opposed to 5 mM, glucose increased superoxide production (87). This was thought to be primarily from mitochondria, because inhibition of the mitochondrial electron-transport chain complex II normalized superoxide production, whereas inhibition of NADPH oxidase or nitric oxide synthase had little or no effect. In contrast, Busik et al. (43) showed that 25 mM glucose did not increase ROS production in human retinal endothelial cells. The increased glucose concentration did not actually increase glucose utilization in these cells. In contrast to the lack of effect of glucose, these authors (43) found that stimulation by interleukin-1β or TNF-α did induce ROS production in human retinal endothelial cells, suggesting that diabetes-related endothelial injury may be related more to cytokine production than to excess glucose. This study used specific spin traps to verify intracellular production of superoxide by electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy. In this work, a mitochondrial source of ROS was suggested, based on the accumulation of a probe, MitoTracker Red, which is dependent on potential to enter mitochondria.

Kanwar et al. (156) reported that superoxide production, measured as lucigenin fluorescence, was increased in retinal tissue isolated from streptozotocin-diabetic mice with blood glucose concentrations ~400 mg/dl. This was prevented by overexpression of MnSOD in the diabetic mice before isolation of the retinal tissue. These authors also reported that diabetes decreased mitochondrial content of reduced glutathione. Cui et al. (76) used a confocal microscopy approach and reported that high glucose in the culture medium increased ROS production in bovine retinal capillary endothelial cells and pericytes associated with apoptosis. These authors also noted increased uncoupling protein expression and MnSOD, suggesting mitochondrial compensation for ROS. Oddly, the induced UCPIs included UCPI, generally expressed only in brown fat. Consistent with these studies, Koluru et al. (172) showed that retinal mitochondria from rats after 8 months (but not 2 months) of STZ-induced diabetes are characterized by leakage of markers of apoptosis (cytochrome c and the BAX protein). In another report, this group showed that MnSOD overexpression in transgenic mice inhibited oxidative damage to the retina, manifest as 8-OHdG and nitrotyrosine (173).

Evidence suggests that mitochondrial ROS contribute to diabetic nephropathy. Friederich et al. (108) showed that diabetic rats express increased mitochondrial UCPI-2 in proximal tubular cells associated with increased oxygen use and suggested that the increase in UCPI2 was protective against oxidative stress. Another report showed that UCPI2 was negatively associated with H2O2 production in kidney mitochondria of diabetic rats (79). Manabe et al. (208) reported that high glucose increased ROS fluorescence in human mesangial cells associated with potentially harmful cytokine expression, an effect that was blocked by astaxanthin, a carotenoid that accumulated in mitochondria. High glucose also reportedly increased H2O2 production by dichlorodihydrofluorescein fluorescence in human mesangial cells (165). This was suppressed by reduction in membrane potential by chemical inhibition or by UCPI overexpression, but, curiously, also
suppressed by MnSOD, which should actually increase H₂O₂ production from superoxide.

Coughlan et al. (72) demonstrated renal mitochondrial oxidative damage in 32-week streptozocin diabetic rats manifest as lucigenin luminescence in kidney slices, an effect that was reduced by alagebrin, a crosslink inhibitor of advanced glycosylation end product (AGE) accumulation. Interestingly, renal carboxymethyl lysine, an AGE marker of glycoxidation and lipid peroxidation, also was inhibited, linking oxidative damage to protein glycosylation. In another report, methylglyoxal formation (a precursor to AGEs) accompanied an increase in superoxide production by renal cortical mitochondria of 12-month STZ-diabetic rats (274). Mitochondrial ROS also were implicated in renal pathology in the Goto-Kakizaki rat, a rodent model of type 2 diabetes (275). This study showed a reduction in tissue aconitase activity, a mitochondrial enzyme susceptible to inactivation by reactive oxygen, along with an increase in lipid peroxides.

Moreira et al. (223) reported no increase in H₂O₂ production by brain mitochondria isolated from 12-week streptozocin diabetic rats. However, that study did show increased H₂O₂ production accompanied by upregulation of glutathione peroxidase in kidney mitochondria of the diabetic rats.

2. ROS and vascular cells. Diabetes increases the risk of cardiovascular events by two- to fourfold (http://www.diabetes.org/diabetes-statistics.jsp). In part, this could be due to impaired vascular function, because both endothelial and smooth muscle cell-mediated vascular reactivity are impaired by diabetes (20, 292). Therefore, mitochondrial function, as affected by diabetes, is particularly important with respect to vascular cells.

The three major factors produced by the endothelium that contribute to the regulation of vascular relaxation are NO, prostacyclin, and the as-yet-undefined factor referred to as endothelium-derived hyperpolarizing factor (EDHF). Impaired endothelium-dependent vasodilation has been demonstrated in various vascular beds of animal models of diabetes and humans with type 1 and type 2 diabetes (80). One of the mechanisms attributed to diabetes-induced endothelial dysfunction is increased oxidative stress. Hyperglycemia-induced production of superoxide by mitochondria of endothelial cells has been suggested as a common link for mechanisms of diabetes-induced vascular dysfunction (237). Studies in our laboratory of epineurial arterioles of the sciatic nerve derived from diabetic rats have provided evidence that the generation of oxidative stress through the production of superoxide and peroxynitrite impairs vascular function and endothelium-dependent vascular relaxation (67–69, 342). These studies provided results suggesting that complex 1 of the mitochondrial electron-transport chain was responsible for the increase in superoxide formation observed with epineurial arterioles from the sciatic nerve of diabetic rats (70). It was shown that pretreating epineurial arterioles from diabetic rats with rotenone reduced superoxide formation (70). Further, treating diabetic rats with three different types of antioxidants prevented the diabetes-induced increase in superoxide and peroxynitrite formation in aorta and epineurial arterioles of the sciatic nerve and diabetes-induced vascular and neural dysfunction, thereby providing evidence that increased oxidative stress contributes to diabetes-induced vascular and neural disease (67–69).

Studies from other laboratories provided further evidence that antioxidants may prevent vascular complications in diabetes. Treating diabetic rats with Tempol, a stable superoxide dismutase mimic, abolished the diabetes-induced increase in vascular superoxide, malondialdehyde, and 8-epi-prostaglandin F(2α) and also the impairment in relaxation of aortic rings to acetylcholine (232). Cameron and colleagues (46, 48–50, 145) demonstrated that treating diabetic rats with 2-lipoic acid or the metal chelators, hydroxyethyl starch deferoxamine or trientine, prevented the diabetes-induced impairment in vascular relaxation associated with hyperalgesia and neurovascular deficits. In addition, Keegan et al. (160) demonstrated that treating diabetic rats with 2-lipoic acid improved endothelium-dependent vascular relaxation of corpus cavernosum smooth muscle. These studies imply that increased superoxide formation via the mitochondrial electron-transport chain and perhaps NAD(P)H oxidase are partially responsible for reduced vascular reactivity observed in epineurial arterioles of the sciatic nerve from diabetic rats (70). Because metal chelators and hydroxy radical scavengers have also been demonstrated to be effective in preventing diabetes-induced vascular and neural dysfunction, it is likely that the formation of hydroxyl radicals also contributes to impairment of vascular reactivity and nerve function in diabetes (46–51, 145).

Finally, heme oxygenase reportedly protects the vasculature in diabetes. Biliverdin, a product of HO-1 catalysis, has antioxidant properties, whereas another product, carbon monoxide, has vasodilatory, antiinflammatory, and antiproliferative effects (226). The inducible subtype HO-1 is present in many tissues and is upregulated by several stimuli, including growth factors, inflammatory cytokines, hypoxia, peroxynitrite, and nitric oxide. HO-1 improves endothelial dysfunction in diabetes (314) and has angiogenic properties (88). Further, treatment of genetically obese mice with CoPP ameliorated obesity, visceral, and subcutaneous fat, increased adiponectin, and improved insulin sensitivity (192).

C. Summary

ROS contribute to defects in both insulin secretion and insulin action and to the long-term complications of diabetes. Inflammatory damage that characterizes type 1 diabetes is mediated at least in part through islet ROS. In persons with type 2 diabetes, the high nutrient flux and consequent ROS production appear to mediate loss of β-cell function. In insulin-sensitive tissues, including liver, muscle, and heart, high fatty-acid flux leads to oxidative damage. At the same time, non-insulin-sensitive tissues, including the eye, kidney, nervous system, and vasculature, are exposed to both high circulating glucose and fatty acid levels and, consequently, ROS-induced diabetic complications. An important, yet still open question is whether oxidative damage to islets and to insulin-sensitive target tissues is responsible for the progressive nature of human type 2 diabetes.

VI. Mitochondrial Membrane Potential and Diabetes

The last two sections addressed mitochondrial metabolism and mitochondria-induced oxidative damage as related to diabetes. In this section, we focus on a still-controversial issue critical to both metabolism and ROS production: the
importance of mitochondrial membrane potential and its regulation by uncoupling proteins.

A. Role of uncoupling proteins

Given their effects on mitochondrial bioenergetics, the question arises as to whether uncoupling proteins are involved in the pathogenesis or pathophysiology or both of diabetes. Until the 1980s, poor coupling of respiration to ATP formation was often considered a property of poorly prepared mitochondria, so it was initially surprising that a "proton leak" would prove to be a catalyzed activity of a specific mitochondrial protein. However, it is now widely accepted that, in brown adipose tissue (BAT), UCP1 does function in this way. UCP1 is a 32-kDa protein encoded by a nuclear rather than a mitochondrial gene, is localized to the inner mitochondrial membrane, and is abundantly expressed in rodent brown adipose tissue (300). Consistent with physiologic energy demands, UCP1 is expressed at higher levels during cold exposure and adrenergic stimulation (300). However, since humans, other than newborns, had not until very recently been found to express BAT or UCP1, there has been doubt that the protein has any role in human diabetes. This now needs to be reconsidered since very recent findings indicate that humans do express BAT in the neck region (78, 278, 318, 323) and the amount of BAT correlates inversely with body-mass index (78).

UCP1 homologues including UCP2 and the long and short forms of UCP3 and two brain mitochondrial proteins termed brain mitochondrial carrier-1 and UCP4 have been identified (31). UCPs 2 and 3 each have considerable homology to UCP1 and considerable interest has been generated regarding their relation to diabetes. UCP2 is expressed in a variety of tissues, including adipose tissue, muscle, heart, liver, and pancreatic islets, and is responsive to nutritional regulation (31, 106) and, as discussed (Section IV.C.6), may be important in regulating insulin release. UCP3 is 73% homologous to UCP2 in humans and is predominantly expressed in human and rodent skeletal muscle and in rodent BAT (32). UCP3 is known to be upregulated under conditions of increased free fatty acid delivery to mitochondria, including fasting (44), insulin-deficient diabetes (134), and high-fat feeding (135). However, mitochondria isolated from animals exposed to these states do not show altered proton-leak kinetics, suggesting that the major role for UCP3 is not to enhance the basal proton conductance (hydrogen transfer in the absence of any physiologic inducer such as superoxide).

UCP2 and UCP3 KO mice are not obese or diabetic. Moreover, mitochondria isolated from UCP3 (45, 91) or UCP2 KO mice (73, 176) do not show a decrease in basal proton conductance. In addition, skeletal muscle mitochondria from a human with dysfunctional UCP3 showed no change in respiratory coupling (62). However, proton conductance of UCP2 and UCP3 can be activated by matrix superoxide or 4-hydroxy-2-nonenal (4-HNE), a byproduct of lipid peroxidation (45, 91, 93). The mechanism appears to involve catalysis of hydrogen transfer from fatty acid to UCPs by coenzyme Q and coupling to fatty acids (94). Superoxide production by mitochondria may be sensitive to membrane potential, and "mild uncoupling" has been proposed as a means of defense against mitochondrial oxidative stress (295). This has led to the idea that superoxide may act in feedback fashion to induce uncoupling and thereby control its own production (92, 306). This induced proton-leak activity can be inhibited by GDP, further supporting physiologic relevance. Importantly, GDP-sensitive proton conductance triggered by superoxide or 4-HNE is not present in mitochondria from the muscle of UCP3 knockout mice (91) or from the kidney of UCP2-deficient mice (176).

How might UCP2 and UCP3 be related to the pathophysiology of diabetes? One obvious and important role might be to protect against oxidative damage to pancreatic islets (see Section V.A.1). Moreover, reduction in ROS production due to decreased membrane potential might also mitigate damage to non–insulin-sensitive target tissues, including renal, neural, and vascular endothelial cells (Section V.B). In this regard, this superoxide-inducible proton leak and feedback protection from further ROS may come into play. In this scenario, superoxide or products of oxidative damage trigger uncoupling, which would then limit further superoxide production and limit further damage (Fig. 11).

In addition, evidence indicates that an important role for UCP3 may be to export fatty acids (136, 289). This might have a protective role in diabetes, mitigating the high flux of β-oxidation products to mitochondria. Such an increase in flux might occur both in insulin-deficient type 1 diabetes,

FIG. 11. Antioxidant role of UCP3. By reducing membrane potential in the face of high substrate flux to mitochondria and consequent ROS production, UCP3 may act to effect a type of feedback inhibition. As shown, ROS would activate UCP3, thereby triggering UCP3-mediated uncoupling and close the loop.
because of rapid fat mobilization, and in insulin-resistant states or in overfeeding, which increase circulating free fatty acids.

A proposed mechanism for UCP3 both to export fatty acids and to discharge inner-membrane potential involves fatty-acid export (Fig. 12). This could occur in two ways (136, 289). One is through a "flip-flop" mechanism wherein the lipophilic portion of the fatty-acid chain inserts into the inner membrane, with subsequent flip of the polar head to the matrix inside (136). The negative matrix would then encourage dissociation of a proton and the trapped fatty-acid anion would be exported by UCP3. Hence, the process transfers a proton from outside the inner membrane to the matrix, accounting for UCP3-mediated discharge of membrane potential or uncoupling. Further, fatty-acid export would protect against lipid peroxidation of the trapped anion.

A second way in which UCP3 might respond to high fatty acid exposure involves the action of mitochondrial thioesterase-1 (MTE-1) on fatty acyl-CoAs that enter through the carnitine palmitoyl transferase system (289) (Fig. 12). Excess fatty acyl-CoAs are broken down to coenzyme A and anion, with export of the anion. As depicted in Fig. 12, UCP3 is again postulated to act as a channel across the inner mitochondrial membrane amenable to export of these fatty-acid anions. Again the result would be the uptake of the proton associated with the exported anion. Interestingly, however, the investigators who described this latter pathway recently found that UCP3 is not actually essential for fatty acid export (289). This was based on studies of fatty acid oxidation and export in skeletal muscle mitochondria of wild-type and UCP3-deficient mice. Although fatty acid export did occur in mitochondria of both the wild-type and KO mice, this occurred equally for both groups. Conversely, UCP3 was necessary for enhancement of fatty acid oxidation during fasting.

Clinical studies indicate a role for UCP3 in the pathogenesis of diabetes. UCP3 expression is reportedly reduced by approximately half in type 2 diabetes (287). Consistent with this finding, type 2 diabetes also is characterized by decreased oxidative phosphorylation activity (161), altered mitochondrial morphology (161), and damage to mitochondrial DNA (197). In addition, humans with a splice-donor mutation in the UCP3 gene have decreased UCP3 levels and diminished fatty acid oxidation (17). Moreover, oxidative gene expression is, in general, reduced in subjects with type 2 diabetes (222, 247).

B. Does membrane potential actually protect against superoxide production?

Although this concept is often cited in regard to uncoupling and ROS, controversy still remains. This is particularly true when extending the argument to the intact-cell environment.

Superoxide production by mitochondria may be sensitive to membrane potential and, as suggested earlier, "mild uncoupling" has been proposed as a means of defense against mitochondrial stress (295). Membrane potential also can be reduced by active ATP synthesis wherein potential energy is diverted to the ATP synthase reaction. This would also be expected to reduce ROS production (167), although little is known about this process in the intact cell. In whole cells, mitochondria generally function in a state between maximally active ATP synthesis (state 3 respiration) and respiration in the absence of ATP formation (state 4).

As mentioned earlier, ROS generation is particularly high under conditions wherein the complex II substrate, succinate, is metabolized, generating reverse transport of electrons back to complex I (185, 241, 324). This process is known to be sensitive to potential, so it is thought that "mild uncoupling" may protect against ROS generated in this way. Moreover, in the Q-cycle (Fig. 2), a high ΔΨ (positive outside-membrane charge) would impair conversion of low-potential cytochrome bL to bH, prolonging the half-life of CoQ−. Visualized this way, reduction in potential will decrease the CoQ− lifetime and protect against its oxidative capacity (294). However, in this regard, it should be acknowledged that the actual mechanism of superoxide production in complex III may be more complex than illustrated in Fig. 2, because recent evidence suggests that superoxide may actually be produced in a reverse reaction through oxidation of reduced heme bL (85).

Notwithstanding the preceding, the concept of "mild uncoupling" as a defense against ROS generation has been criticized. Nicholls et al. (151, 234) point out that this may not be the case in intact cells where mitochondria predominantly oxidize NADH-linked substrates at complex I. These authors

---

**FIG. 12. Possible role of UCP3 to export excess mitochondrial fatty acids.** Under conditions of high availability, fatty acid anions might accumulate in the mitochondrial matrix in two ways. One is through the flip-flop phenomenon (136). The other is through the carnitine palmitoyl transferase (CPT) system, followed by conversion of excess fatty acyl-CoAs to fatty acid anions and coenzyme A by mitochondrial thioesterase-1 (MTE-1) (289). In both cases, UCP3 would function to export the resultant trapped fatty acid anion protecting mitochondria from excess accumulation of fat and lipid peroxides.

FAO, fatty acid oxidation.
also point out that, because complex I is thermodynamically weak, relative to the other proton-pumping complexes, bypassing complex I (an artificial state created in isolated mitochondria fueled by succinate) allows mitochondria to generate another 5–10 mV of potential across the inner membrane. It is over this increase or hyperpolarization of the membrane that considerable superoxide is generated. Recent work by this group (151) showed that a small, chemically induced 10-mV decrease in mitochondrial membrane potential in intact cells (rat cerebellar cells) did not affect mitochondrial superoxide production and actually increased cytoplasmic superoxide. Moreover, they point out that the relation of mitochondrial respiration to potential is not particularly conducive to a controlled degree of “mild uncoupling.” This is because mitochondria respond to uncoupling by increasing respiration. So, in actuality, membrane potential decreases very little until the capacity to increase respiration is exceeded, a process that is not inherently efficient (151, 234). Work reported several years ago suggested that UCP1 overexpression in BAE cells protected against ROS (240). However, this overexpression is clearly not physiologic (300). Moreover, in past work in our laboratory (105), we were unable to show any effect of overexpression of UCPs (either UCP1 or UCP2) in BAE cells on ROS. That work was limited to ROS detected by EPR, which we believe represents superoxide generated mostly at complex III.

To summarize Section VI.C, the issue of reduced mitochondrial potential as a means to control ROS is still somewhat controversial, especially in intact cells, and the question requires further study.

C. Summary

UCP2 in pancreatic tissue and UCP3 in muscle and heart are important in the pathogenesis of diabetes. These proteins are induced by and appear protective against superoxide-induced damage. Conversely, this occurs at a cost of reduced ATP, which could result in loss of insulin secretory capacity by islet β cells or loss of function in heart and in muscle. UCP2 and UCP3 are upregulated under conditions of excess fatty acid flux and counter lipotoxicity. Reasons exist to believe that mild uncoupling reduces ROS production through reduced potential; however, the issue is still controversial.

VII. Coenzyme Q and Diabetes

This section addresses the relation of coenzyme Q or ubiquinone to diabetes. Obviously, CoQ is a fundamental life molecule without which all electron transport and energy production would cease. Hence, it seems a bit odd to these authors that more attention has not been directed to CoQ and its biosynthesis, as related to diabetes.

CoQ is fat soluble and localizes to hydrophobic regions within mitochondrial membranes wherein it is mobile and functions as an electron carrier. About one half of total body CoQ is synthesized endogenously, whereas the remainder derives from the diet (113). CoQ side-chain synthesis is dependent on 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase and proceeds through steps common to cholesterol biosynthesis to the intermediate compound farnesyl pyrophosphate which then diverges either to cholesterol, cis-prenylation to dolicho, or trans-prenylation to the side chain of ubiquinone. Synthesis of the benzoquinone ring of CoQ and the long side-chain converge when the two are linked by the COQ2 gene product. At that point CoQ synthesis proceeds within or adjacent to the mitochondrial inner membrane, where at least 10 different gene products are involved in the final generation of CoQ.

Ubiquinone, in final form, consists of a 50-carbon side chain attached to the quinone moiety. The carbon chain consists of ten 5-carbon prenyl units; hence the name CoQ10. In rats and mice, unlike humans, the predominant form contains nine 5-carbon units and is designated CoQ9 (2, 297). The completed CoQ with its long carbon side chain is very mobile within lipid membranes and, thus, able to serve its essential role as an electron carrier. In addition to mitochondrial membranes, CoQ also is present in Golgi vesicles and lysosomes and is present in other membrane structures as well (315).

The antioxidant properties of CoQ are not due to direct scavenging of superoxide but appear to be mediated through regeneration of active ascorbic acid and tocopherol, the reduced form of vitamin E (66). Moreover, CoQ in the semiquinone form also acts as a chain-breaking agent, thereby protecting against lipid peroxidation (147). Although often thought of (and marketed as) an antioxidant, it is important to point out that CoQ also has prooxidant properties. As depicted in Figs. 1 and 2, the semiquinone form of CoQ leaks electrons to form superoxide during electron transport through the Q-cycle in complex III. Moreover, at least suggestive evidence exists for involvement of the semiquinone form of CoQ in electron transport at complex I (40, 90, 185). In this regard, CoQ may actually be needed for superoxide formation, because the radical may have important signaling properties, for example, to induce UCP activity, as discussed earlier, or to enhance the expression/activity of regulatory proteins like AMPK (60, 141). Moreover, it is worth noting that superoxide may not be harmful if followed by detoxification to H2O2 by SOD and breakdown of H2O2 by catalase.

Coenzyme Q concentrations appear to be reduced in diabetic states. An early study of liver mitochondria, which used cytochrome c oxidation to measure CoQ, reported increased concentrations in mitochondria of pancreatectomized diabetic rats (37). However, later studies with HPLC reported a reduction in liver (180) and kidney (180, 223) mitochondrial CoQ content and an ~75% reduction in heart mitochondria of 8-week STZ-diabetic rats (180). Unpublished studies in our laboratory show a substantial depletion of skeletal muscle mitochondrial CoQ in insulin-deficient diabetic rats. Evidence also exists for reduced CoQ in plasma of humans with diabetes (113).

It is possible that coenzyme Q may be particularly important when diabetes patients are treated with HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (commonly referred to as “statins”), widely used in patients with diabetes to reduce cholesterol. HMG-CoA blockade is more potent at inhibiting cholesterol biosynthesis than CoQ biosynthesis because of differing Km values for trans-prenyltransferase (leads to CoQ) and squalene synthetase (leads to cholesterol) at the farnesyl-PP branch point (315). Conversely, it is possible that CoQ depletion in diabetes might engender more sensitivity to adverse effects of these drugs, in particular, myopathy. We believe this issue needs further study directed at mechanisms underlying the reductions in CoQ seen in diabetes. Severe myopathy is rare,
but milder muscle pain remains a major reason that some patients do not tolerate statins. Moreover, in diabetes, this is of particular importance, given the need to control concomitant cholesterol elevation.

To summarize, CoQ is related to diabetes through its fundamental action on electron transport, direct involvement in both the generation of ROS and antioxidant protection, and as an important regulator of mitochondrial uncoupling. Important therapeutic implications exist, as discussed in the next section.

VIII. Therapeutic Implications

Therapy targeting mitochondria may provide novel ways to treat diabetes or to minimize the complications of diabetes or both. Here we consider ways to alter mitochondrial function in general, as well as mitochondrial ROS production in particular.

A. Improving mitochondrial metabolism

1. Lifestyle modification. Lifestyle modification, including exercise and diet, decreases the risk for developing type 2 diabetes (166), whereas physical activity improves glucose tolerance (132). Moreover, mitochondrial dysfunction may underlie features of the metabolic syndrome, including obesity, hyperlipidemia, hypertension, and vascular disease. In this regard, exercise offers several benefits, including increased electron-transport activity in muscle, stimulation of this regard, exercise offers several benefits, including increased electron-transport activity in muscle, stimulation of mitochondrial biogenesis through effects on PGC-1α, and improved sensitivity to insulin (266, 269). Exercise also activates AMPK, which improves both glucose and fat oxidation (266).

Calorie restriction is known to prolong the lifetime of rodents, nematodes, and maybe humans (265). How this is related to mitochondrial function is not clear, but evidence suggests that calorie restriction favors mitochondrial biogenesis, oxygen use and ATP formation, and expression of SIRT1, which activates PGC1-α (121, 203). Calorie restriction also has been shown partially or completely to prevent the effect of aging to decrease the expression of genes involved in mitochondrial biogenesis and function (189).

2. Pharmacologic intervention. Attempts to improve mitochondrial function go back to the 1930s, when attempts were made to treat human obesity with the mitochondrial chemical uncoupler dinitrophenol (77). This was quite effective, thereby providing proof of concept. However, this treatment had to be quickly abandoned because of an unacceptable risk of fulminant liver failure. Conversely, recent research has uncovered several molecular targets that may prove amenable to therapies directed at mitochondrial function (Fig. 13).

Although not targeted to weight reduction, certain drugs commonly used for patients with type 2 diabetes may improve mitochondrial function. The thiazolidinedione class of insulin sensitizers (ligands for PPARγ) are used to improve insulin resistance and reduce blood glucose levels. The thiazolidinedione, pioglitazone, was found to induce mitochondrial biogenesis in adipose tissue as well as expression of PGC-1α and genes in the fatty acid-oxidation pathway (30). Interestingly, however, the use of thiazolidinediones is limited by a tendency for weight gain in part because of increased subcutaneous, but not intraabdominal, fat (337) and, in part, because of fluid retention by an uncertain mechanism (29).

Metformin is most often the initial pharmacologic agent used in type 2 diabetes. Metformin has mitigating effects on ROS production, activates AMPK, and favors mitochondrial proliferation (181, 353). In clinical use, metformin, unlike insulin or insulin secretagogues, is not associated with weight gain. Another group of drugs that improve insulin sensitivity and enhance mitochondrial biogenesis are the angiotensin-receptor blockers or inhibitors of angiotensin-converting enzyme. These agents also reduce oxidative stress, although the mechanisms still require clarification (164).

Newer pharmacologic approaches to improving mitochondrial function may be on the horizon. Resveratrol, an ingredient in red wines, is a polyphenolic SIRT1 activator that, like calorie restriction, has anti-aging effects in lower organisms (22, 143, 331), reduces signs of aging in mice (248), and extends survival (22). In mice, resveratrol improves insulin resistance, protects against diet-induced obesity, induces genes for oxidative phosphorylation, and activates PGC-1α (22, 184). Other related small molecules are more potent than resveratrol to enhance the action of SIRT1 on substrates for deacetylation (218). These compounds also improve insulin sensitivity in obese rodents (218). Pharmacologic activation of AMPK, like resveratrol, increases PGC-1α, favoring mitochondrial biogenesis (28, 329).

As discussed in Section IV.C.4, high fatty acyl-CoA flux may result in mitochondrial overload with adverse consequences on carbohydrate metabolism. In this regard, it may be possible to improve glucose utilization through measures that inhibit mitochondrial uptake of long-chain acyl-CoA molecules. For example, lipid suppression of glucose utilization is mitigated by etomoxir, an inhibitor of carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1, or by knockdown of malonyl-CoA decarboxylase, an enzyme that promotes mitochondrial β-oxidation by preventing malonyl-CoA-induced inhibition of CPT-I (36, 170). A seemingly opposite approach would be pharmacologic blockade of acetyl-CoA carboxylase 2 (ACC2), leading to decreased malonyl-CoA. As indicated in Section IV.C.4, ACC2 KO mice demonstrate enhanced rates of fatty acid oxidation in liver and muscle (4, 99). This might be envisioned to increase muscle fatty acid oxidation and therefore to decrease glucose utilization. However, the predominant effect

![FIG. 13. Examples representing molecular targets for mitochondrial therapy directed at diabetes, insulin resistance, and obesity.](image)
appears to be in liver, which would decrease hepatic packaging and export of lipids and decrease the lipid supply to muscle. Consistent with this concept, glucose oxidation, as well as fat oxidation, was increased in cardiac muscle of ACC2 KO mice (99).

Other targets potentially amenable to pharmacologic manipulation might include AMPK, which enhances both glucose and fat oxidation (109, 276), pyruvate dehydrogenase (213), or the various shuttle mechanisms regulating uptake of TCA intermediates (114). Finally, manipulating mitochondrial reactive oxygen should also have metabolic consequences. \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) appears to activate AMPK through an increase in the AMP/ATP ratio and by threonine phosphorylation (60). Moreover, as we recently showed (104), mitochondria-targeted antioxidants may alter intact-cell fuel selectivity. This is discussed in the next section.

B. Controlling ROS production and oxidative damage

Various vitamins and chemical compounds with antioxidant properties and effects on mitochondria have been used in attempts to prevent, control, or reduce the complications of diabetes. These include coenzyme Q, vitamin E, \( \alpha \)-lipoic acid, \( N \)-acetylcysteine (NAC), vitamin C, inducers of heme oxygenase, and the SOD mimetic MnTRAP.

As the major mobile electron carrier, coenzyme Q has long been of interest as a therapy for obesity and general health and to improve diabetic states. However, the therapeutic use of CoQ10 and other antioxidants \textit{in vivo}, particularly in human studies directed at vascular events, has been disappointing (341, 345). This may be due to concerns about toxicity and consequent inadequate dosing or the inability to deliver agents to target sites of ROS production. Attempts to treat diabetes, either in rodents (220, 264) or in humans (13, 133, 220, 302), have met with variable results, showing either a beneficial effect on markers of oxidative damage (182, 302) or glycemia (220), no effect (13, 133), or mixed results depending on tissue and markers of oxidative damage (264). CoQ effectively reduced elevated insulin concentrations, improved endothelial function, and reduced markers of oxidative damage when administered through the drinking water to variants of the spontaneously hypertensive rat (SHR), that have features of the metabolic syndrome (182). In another report, oral decylQ (a CoQ analogue) reduced plasma malondialdehyde, blood pressure, and cholesterol in an SHR rat model prone to stroke (229). Further, intraperitoneal CoQ treatment for 7 weeks reportedly improved the respiratory-control ratio and the ADP/O ratio in brain mitochondria and slightly reduced glucose in diabetic Goto-Kakizaki rats treated with a neurotoxin (224). Although human studies are limited, evidence suggests a net benefit of CoQ supplements to humans with neurodegenerative disease or genetic defects in mitochondrial function (126). However, a problem is that the effectiveness of CoQ delivery to mitochondria was not determined in these studies.

Ubiquinol, the reduced form of CoQ, acts as an antioxidant in mitochondria, both by regeneration of vitamin E and by directly reacting with peroxyl radicals. Thus, CoQ acts in mitochondria both as an antioxidant and as a mobile electron carrier (97, 207). However, in our experience, CoQ10 in either the ubiquinol or ubiquinone redox state does not appear to have direct effects on mitochondrial ROS (104, 241) and may not easily enter mitochondria. As indicated earlier (Section VII), the final convergent steps in the biosynthetic pathways generating coenzyme Q occur within or along the inner mitochondrial membrane, so endogenous biosynthesis may be critical to proper localization of mitochondrial coenzyme Q. The antioxidant properties of vitamin E are thought to be based on its oxidation to the tocopheroxyl radical, which allows this lipophilic molecule to inhibit lipid peroxidation (207). Evidence exists for a correlation between mitochondrial lipid peroxidation and vitamin E concentration (310). The tocopheroxyl radical is recycled to vitamin E by water-soluble agents, such as ascorbate and glutathione. Moreover, electron-transport activity within submitochondrial membrane particles can also recycle vitamin E (207). However, despite this, vitamin E did not improve cardiovascular outcomes in a large multicenter trial and actually increased congestive heart failure (201). Vitamin E also did not prevent the progression of carotid intima-media thickness in high-risk patients with diabetes (202). Moreover, despite reports that short-term vitamin E may have beneficial effects on endothelial function in diabetes, prolonged vitamin E supplementation offered no benefit or even had vasoconstrictive effects on endothelial function (23, 95). Thus, it is disturbing that a compound with antioxidant effects on mitochondrial lipids is not effective or is even harmful in the clinical research setting.

Water-soluble ascorbic acid (vitamin C) is widely marketed for its antioxidant properties (212, 296) and, as stated earlier, appears to regenerate reduced vitamin E. Although these compounds may have general effects to improve the overall cell redox state, no evidence supports a role in the management of diabetes (11).

Other antioxidant molecules of potential therapeutic importance include \( \alpha \)-lipoic acid and \( N \)-acetylcysteine (NAC). \textit{In vivo}, \( \alpha \)-lipoic acid is enzymatically reduced to dihydrolipoic acid and, as such, is an effective scavenger of superoxide (343). In this form, the compound regenerates other antioxidants including glutathione, vitamin C, and vitamin E. In STZ-diabetic rats, \( \alpha \)-lipoic acid mitigated the diabetes-induced decrease in retinal mitochondrial and cytosolic NAD\(^+\)/NADH ratio (243). This compound also prevented lipid peroxidation when administered to rats (83) and improved \( \beta \)-cell function in apolipoprotein E-deficient mice given STZ (339). \( \alpha \)-Lipoic acid also protected the retinal microvasculature in diabetic rats by reducing nitrotyrosine and oxidized DNA (174). In human studies, \( \alpha \)-lipoic acid has been administered intravenously and improved diabetic peripheral neuropathy (352). Oral \( \alpha \)-lipoic acid also improved peripheral neuropathy but caused nausea, vomiting, and vertigo (351).

NAC interacts with multiple radical species, forming NAC disulfide as the end product (71). This agent penetrates cells where the thiol group confers antioxidant activity, effectively removing \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) by transfer of electrons from the SH group. With respect to oxygen radicals, NAC rapidly reduces \( \cdot \text{OH} \) and \( \text{HOCl} \). Interaction with \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) is slow, and interaction with \( \cdot \text{O}^\bullet \) is minor at most. Nonetheless, by scavenging the hydroxyl radical, NAC is effective in preventing a damaging radical formed consequent to \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) and \( \cdot \text{O}^\bullet \) (Section II.B). \textit{In vitro} evidence indicates that NAC may exert antioxidant effects through increasing GSH, facilitating the action of GPx. NAC counters ROS in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, a disorder often associated with type 2 diabetes and characterized by mitochondrial ROS production (214). NAC also is used to
mitigate contrast-induced nephropathy in diabetes patients undergoing coronary angiography (150).

As indicated in Section V, inducers of heme oxygenase mitigated islet damage, improved glucose in diabetic mice (193), and improved obesity and insulin sensitivity in genetically obese mice (192). However, these findings have not yet been translated to human studies.

Besides these antioxidant approaches, efforts are now under way to develop antioxidant compounds specifically targeted to mitochondria. Examples are described in the following section.

C. Mitochondria-targeted antioxidants

The likely role of mitochondrial ROS in human disease has led to efforts to develop effective antioxidant compounds targeted to mitochondria. One approach to this involves the synthesis of compounds linking agents such as redox forms of quinone (ubiquinol and ubiquinone) or vitamin E to form alkylated triphenylphosphonium compounds. These are lipophilic cations avidly taken up into the relatively negative mitochondrial matrix (162). As discussed in Section III. A, we and several others used the triphenylmethylphosphonium or tetraphenylphosphonium cations to measure mitochondrial membrane potential (103, 105).

Two such compounds (alkyltriphenylphosphonium cations) incorporating ubiquinone or vitamin E, termed mitoQ and mitoVit E, respectively, have been synthesized (162). By virtue of their delocalized positive charge, these agents accumulate several hundredfold in mitochondria (230). MitoQ (mitoquinone or mitoquinol or a mixture of the two redox cycling compounds) is currently under development as a therapeutic agent in humans for neurodegenerative disorders including Parkinson disease and hepatitis (http://www.antipodeanpharma.com/). Although mitoQ appears to have protective effects in certain cell types, the mechanism of action is not well defined and appears complex. A major action may be to decrease lipid peroxidation by virtue of the quinol moiety acting as a chain-breaking antioxidant (147). Figure 14 illustrates the structures of ubiquinone (CoQ10 named for the ten 5-carbon prenyl units composing the long side arm), mitochondrial-targeted CoQ, and related compounds used as controls in studies of targeted CoQ.

Oral administration of mitoQ (500 μM in drinking water administered ad libitum) to normal male rats protected heart muscle function, prevented myocardial cell death, and improved the respiratory-control ratio (state 3 to state 4 respiration) in rats subject to ischemia/reperfusion injury (7). Mitochondrial-targeted antioxidants protected Friedreich ataxia fibroblasts, in which glutathione synthesis was blocked, from oxidative stress (148), and mitoQ reduced telomere shortening in fibroblasts exposed to oxidative stress (281). In BAE cells, mitoQ reduced oxidative damage in cells stressed by 25 mM glucose and glucose oxidase (81). Moreover, mitoQ also reduced ROS and reduced activation of the mitogen-activated protein kinase, p42ERK2, in endothelial cells after hypoxic stress (283).

D. Metabolic effects of mitochondria-targeted antioxidants

Given the mitochondrial action of mitoQ, an important issue arises as to whether treatment with such agents might have consequences beyond antioxidant effects. We recently reported that, in BAE cell mitochondria, mitoQ (either as mitoquinone or mitoquinol) had prooxidant as well as antioxidant effects (241) and metabolic effects (104). These compounds markedly increased or decreased superoxide production, when assayed as DHPA fluorescence, depending on substrate provided for fuel (241). MitoQ markedly increased superoxide production during forward electron transport in mitochondria respiring on complex I substrates. Conversely, mitoQ inhibited superoxide generated by BAE mitochondria respiring on the complex II substrate, succinate; a condition wherein ROS production occurs through reverse electron transport or backflow of electrons to complex I originating from complex II. This was likely due to a subtle “mild uncoupling” effect of mitoQ. During respiration on complex I substrates, superoxide appears to result from redox cycling of endogenous CoQ10 or exogenous analogues at Q-binding sites within complex I (84, 185, 241).

As opposed to DHPA fluorescence, we found that mitoquinone had essentially no effect on superoxide production with EPR spectroscopy (241). Based on the considerations depicted in Fig. 6 (Section III.D), DHPA fluorescence measures matrix superoxide largely from complex I, whereas EPR detects superoxide released external to mitochondria, largely from complex III. Hence the effect of mitoquinone to increase DHPA fluorescence, but not the EPR signal, suggests action within complex I. Subsequently, we found that mitoquinone increased respiration by isolated mitochondria, but only on complex I substrates (104).

FIG. 14. Structures of ubiquinone (CoQ10), mitochondrial targeted CoQ (mitoQ), and related compounds.
These considerations led us to think that if mitoquinone increased respiration selectively on particular substrates, then perhaps mitoquinone could alter intact cell-nutrient selectivity. We observed a substantial increase in glucose use and a decrease in fat oxidation in BAE cells (104) as well as C2C12 mouse myocytes (Fig. 15) exposed to mitoQ. However, we do not know the mechanism underlying this effect. Several possible ways exist by which an agent that affects mitochondrial function might alter nutrient selectivity (Section IV.C.9), so this will require extensive additional study. Speculative possibilities include mitochondrial redox effects, which could affect the state of cytoplasmic reducing equivalents, with consequent effects on myriad enzyme systems and kinases. These could then alter fuel selectivity at notable steps, such as AMPK kinase, pyruvate dehydrogenase, phosphofructokinase, or others. Moreover, it is possible that mitoquinone might have direct effects on mitochondrial proteins such as the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex or the electron-transport flavoprotein:ubiquinone oxidoreductase.

The effects we observed of mitoQ on nutrient selectivity by intact cells do not appear to be a response to cell toxicity. We found no evidence for this in cytotoxic assays (104). Also, dose/response studies demonstrated effects of mitoquinone extending an order of magnitude or more downward from the 1 μM (or higher) dose used in other reported studies of the cellular actions of this compound (25, 81, 283), some of which reported a mitoQ-induced resistance to apoptosis (25, 81). Moreover, decylTPP (which differs from mitoQ only in the absence of the Q moiety) (Fig. 14), did not affect fuel selectivity despite a greater reduction in membrane potential than that with mitoquinone (104).

E. Mitochondria-targeted antioxidant peptides

In addition to the previously mentioned triphenylphosphonium cationic molecules, other approaches to mitochondrial antioxidant therapy are being considered. One involves synthetic peptides with antioxidant properties designed to target mitochondria. These have been shown to penetrate mitochondria targeting the inner membrane by a poorly understood mechanism (304). Peptides containing tyrosine residues have been found effectively to scavenge oxygen radicals and peroxynitrite and to inhibit lipid peroxidation (304, 349). Such peptides reduce intracellular ROS and cell death in neuronal (256) and pancreatic islet cells (309) and recently were reported to reduce skeletal myocyte H₂O₂ production and to preserve insulin sensitivity in rats fed a high-fat diet (14). Unfortunately, these peptides also possess potent opioid-receptor affinity and activity (285, 347, 348). This activity may potentially limit their clinical effectiveness. Novel agents are needed to retain the antioxidant activity while reducing opioid-receptor activity.

F. Targeting superoxide

Tempol is an SOD mimetic that has been administered to rodents, apparently without toxicity (308). The agent is not specific for mitochondrial as opposed to total cellular superoxide, but effects do appear to include mitochondria. Tang et al. (307) studied the effect of hyperglycemia on islet β-cell function in rats after antecedent exposure to in vivo hyperglycemia with or without coadministration of antioxidants. Tempol both prevented β-cell dysfunction and increased superoxide induced by hyperglycemia. Tempol was observed to prevent excess total as well as mitochondrial superoxide, as evidenced by the effect of this agent on DHE and mitochondria-targeted DHE fluorescence (see Section III.E). In another study of rats infused with oleate for 48 h, co-infusion of Tempol improved insulin secretion and mitigated oxidative stress in islets subsequently studied ex vivo (245).

Tempol also may have beneficial effects on diabetic complications. The agent reportedly reduced renal mesangial expansion and decreased transforming growth factor β in diabetic rats (18). Conversely, the Tempol-induced conversion of superoxide to H₂O₂ appeared to be followed by increased hypochlorite production from H₂O₂ (18). In another report, 8 weeks of subcutaneous Tempol treatment was found to mitigate endothelial dysfunction as well as oxidative damage to vascular cells in rats with STZ-diabetes (232).

IX. Summary

Mitochondria, by virtue of numbers or functional properties or both, are critically involved in the pathophysiology of diabetes. At the islet β-cell level, acute insulin release is regulated by mitochondrial ATP production and mitochondrial ROS may contribute to the long-term deterioration of insulin secretory capacity seen in type 2 diabetes. Mitochondrial function also appears a critical determinant of insulin sensitivity within muscle, liver, and adipose tissue. Moreover, ROS appear important in the autoimmune destruction that characterizes type 1 diabetes, as well as in the pathophysiology of the long-term complications that characterize both classes of diabetes. Mitochondria also play a primary role in the etiology of genetic forms of “mitochondrial” diabetes. New diabetes-treatment strategies are needed to address both mitochondrial function and ROS production. Pharmacologic interventions must focus on mechanisms regulating mitochondrial antioxidant activity.
biogenesis, ROS, and respiration. At the functional level, effective pharmacologic agents are needed that can be safely delivered to targeted sites within cells and within mitochondria.
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### Abbreviations Used

- **4-HNE** = 4-hydroxy-2-nonenal
- **8-OHdG** = 8-hydroxy-2-deoxyguanosine
- **ACC2** = acetyl-CoA carboxylase 2
- **AGE** = glycosylation end product
- **AKT** = serine/threonine kinase protein kinase B
- **ALDH** = aldehyde dehydrogenase
- **AMPK** = AMP-activated protein kinase
- **ANT** = adenine nucleotide translocase
- **BAE** = bovine aortic endothelial
- **BAT** = brown adipose tissue
- **BRECs** = bovine retinal endothelial cells
- **CoPP** = cobalt protoporphyrin
- **CoQ** = coenzyme Q
- **CoQ9** = coenzyme Q containing nine prenyl subunits
- **CoQ10** = coenzyme Q containing 10 prenyl subunits
- **CPT** = carnitine palmitoyl transferase
- **CPT-I** = carnitine palmitoyl transferase I
- **CPT-II** = carnitine palmitoyl transferase II
- **DHE** = dihydroethidine
- **DHPA** = 10-acetyl-3,7-dihydroxyphenoxazinone
- **DMPO** = 5,5-dimethyl-1-pyrrylene-N-oxide
- **EDHF** = endothelium-derived hyperpolarizing factor
- **ELISA** = enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
- **EPR** = electron paramagnetic resonance
- **ETF** = electron-transfer flavoprotein
- **ETS** = electron-transfer system
- **FCCP** = carbonyl cyanide p-[trifluoromethoxy]-phenyl-hydradone
- **F3F1-ATPase** = ATP synthase
- **GAPDH** = glyceral 3-phosphate dehydrogenase
- **GLUT4** = glucose transporter type 4
- **GPX** = glutathione peroxidase
- **GSH** = glutathione
- **HMG-CoA** = 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A
- **HCO-1** = heme oxygenase-1
- **HPLC** = high-pressure liquid chromatography
- **IMFM** = intermyofibrillar mitochondria
- **IR** = insulin receptor
- **IRS-1** = insulin receptor substrate
- **IRS-2** = insulin receptor substrate type 1
- **JNK** = c-Jun N-terminal kinase
- **KO** = knock-out
- **LDH** = lactate dehydrogenase
- **MCD** = malonyl-CoA decarboxylase
- **MDA** = malondialdehyde
- **MFN** = mitofusin
- **MnSOD** = manganese superoxide dismutase
- **MnTBAP** = manganese (III) tetrakis (4-benzoic acid) porphyrin
- **MPTP** = mitochondrial permeability transition pore
- **mtDNA** = mitochondrial DNA
- **MTE-1** = mitochondrial thioesterase-1
- **NAC** = N-acetylcysteine
- **NMR** = nuclear magnetic resonance
- **NO** = nitric oxide
- **NOS** = mitochondrial nitric oxide synthase
- **NRF-1** = nuclear transcription factor 1
- **NRFs** = nuclear transcription factors
- **PARL** = presenilin-associated rhomboid-like
- **PCO** = polycystic ovarian syndrome
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### Abbreviations Used (cont.)

- **PDC** = pyruvate dehydrogenase complex
- **PFK** = phosphofructokinase
- **PGC-1α or β** = peroxisome proliferator–activated receptor gamma coactivator type 1 alpha or 1 beta
- **PI3K** = phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase
- **PKC** = protein kinase C
- **PPARα** = peroxisome proliferator–activated receptor alpha
- **PPARγ** = peroxisome proliferator–activated receptor gamma
- **rMC-1** = transformed retinal cells
- **ROS** = reactive oxygen species
- **SHR** = spontaneously hypertensive rat
- **SIRT1** = mammalian counterpart silent information regulator 2
- **SLM** = subsarcolemmal mitochondria
- **SOD** = superoxide dismutase
- **STZ** = streptozotocin
- **TMRM** = tetramethylrhodamine methyl ester
- **TNF-α** = tumor necrosis factor-alpha
- **TPMP** = methyltriphenylphosphonium
- **TPP** = tetraphenylphosphonium
- **TRAP** = plasma antioxidant capacity
- **UCP** = uncoupling protein
- **UCP1,2,3,4** = uncoupling proteins 1, 2, 3, or 4
- **VO\textsubscript{2}\text{max}** = maximal oxygen consumption
- **WT** = wild type